

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0038627		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	06/27/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	05/06/2011
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/28/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/27/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/02/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 57-year-old male with a 5/6/11 date of injury. At the time (1/7/14) of the request for authorization for EMPI 4 lead TENS rental and supplies x 3 m, there is documentation of subjective (lower back symptomatology) and objective (none specified) findings, current diagnoses (sprain and strain of lumbosacral), and treatment to date (epidural steroid injection and physical therapy). There is no documentation of pain of at least three months duration, evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried (including medication) and failed, a statement identifying that the TENS unit will be used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration, and a treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**EMPI 4 lead TENS rental and supplies x 3 m:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; chronic intractable pain.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) Page(s): 113-117.

**Decision rationale:** The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identifies documentation of pain of at least three months duration, evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried (including medication) and failed, a statement identifying that the TENS unit will be used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration, and a treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of a month trial of a TENS unit. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of sprain and strain of lumbosacral. However, there is no documentation of pain of at least three months duration, evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried (including medication) and failed, a statement identifying that the TENS unit will be used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration, and a treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS. In addition, the requested EMPI 4 lead TENS rental and supplies x 3 m exceeds guidelines (for an initial trial). Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for EMPI 4 lead TENS rental and supplies x 3 m is not medically necessary.