

Case Number:	CM14-0035985		
Date Assigned:	06/23/2014	Date of Injury:	05/09/2013
Decision Date:	12/31/2014	UR Denial Date:	03/11/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	03/24/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a male patient with the date of injury of May 9, 2013. A Utilization Review dated March 11, 2014 recommended non-certification of home H wave device. A Progress Report dated February 27, 2014 identifies Subjective Complaints of chronic left foot pain with numbness and tingling. Physical Examination identifies swelling and limited range of motion. Diagnoses identify metatarsal fracture. Treatment Plan identifies 30-day trial of an H-wave unit.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Home H-wave device: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines H-wave stimulation Page(s): 117.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R.9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 114, 117-118 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for home H-wave device, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that electrotherapy represents the therapeutic use of electricity and is another modality that can be used in the treatment of pain. Guidelines go on to state that H-wave stimulation is not recommended as an isolated intervention, but a one-month home-based trial of H-wave stimulation may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option for diabetic

neuropathic pain, or chronic soft tissue inflammation if used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration, and only following failure of initially recommended conservative care, including recommended physical therapy and medications plus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. Within the documentation there is no documentation of chronic soft tissue inflammation and the unit will be used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration, and only following failure of initially recommended conservative care, including recommended physical therapy and medications plus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. In the absence of such documentation, the currently requested home H-wave device is not medically necessary.