

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0025635		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	06/18/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	11/23/2012
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/18/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	02/14/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	02/28/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is licensed in Chiropractic and Acupuncture and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 39 year old female who reported shoulder and low back pain from injury sustained on 11/23/12 doing her customary and usual duties of cleaning. There were no diagnostic imaging reports. Patient is diagnosed with repetitive strain injury; right shoulder rotator cuff injury and myofascial pain syndrome. Patient has been treated with medication and physical therapy. Per notes dated 12/9/13, examination revealed decreased range of motion, local tenderness and swelling as well as right shoulder muscle girdle. 1/17/14, patient complains of a lot of pain and discomfort involving low back and bilateral legs. She also complains of local tenderness and decreased range of motion. Per notes dated 2/4/14, patient complains of pain and discomfort with decreased range of motion. Primary treating physician is requesting 8 initial acupuncture sessions which was modified to 6 sessions. Requested visits exceed the quantity of initial acupuncture visits supported by the cited guidelines.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**ACUPUNCTURE WITHOUT STIMUL 15 MINUTES:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

**Decision rationale:** Per MTUS- Section 9792.24.1 Acupuncture Medical treatment Guidelines Page 8-9. "Acupuncture is used as an option when pain medication is reduced and not tolerated,

it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery". "Time to produce function improvement: 3-6 treatments. 2) Frequency: 1-3 times per week. 3) Optimum duration: 1-2 months. Acupuncture treatments may be extended if functional improvement is documented". Patient hasn't had prior Acupuncture treatment. Per guidelines 3-6 treatments are sufficient for initial course of Acupuncture. Request of 8 visits was modified to 6 acupuncture visits. Requested visits exceed the quantity of initial acupuncture visits supported by the cited guidelines. Additional visits may be rendered if the patient has documented objective functional improvement. MTUS- Definition 9792.20 (f) Functional improvement means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam. Per guidelines and review of evidence, 8 Acupuncture visits are not medically necessary.