

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0022198		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	05/09/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	06/27/2013
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/10/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	02/11/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	02/21/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in Iowa. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This patient is a 47 year old employee with date of injury of 6/27/13. Medical records indicate the patient is undergoing treatment for headache, left shoulder pain, left wrist pain, chest wall pain and low back pain. Subjective complaints include left shoulder pain, left wrist pain, and low back pain. Objective findings include tenderness of his lumbar paraspinal muscles. Treatment for his low back pain has consisted of physical therapy that improved range of motion, decreased pain and treatment with Neurontin. The utilization review determination was rendered on 2/11/14 recommending approval of a MRI of the lumbar spine.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

#### **MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING (MRI) OF LUMBAR SPINE:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 308-310.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 287-315. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), MRIs (magnetic resonance imaging).

**Decision rationale:** MTUS and ACOEM recommend MRI, in general, for low back pain when "cauda equine, tumor, infection, or fracture are strongly suspected and plain film radiographs are

negative, MRI test of choice for patients with prior back surgery". ACOEM additionally recommends against MRI for low back pain "before 1 month in absence of red flags". ODG states, "Imaging is indicated only if they have severe progressive neurologic impairments or signs or symptoms indicating a serious or specific underlying condition, or if they are candidates for invasive interventions. Immediate imaging is recommended for patients with major risk factors for cancer, spinal infection, cauda equina syndrome, or severe or progressive neurologic deficits. Imaging after a trial of treatment is recommended for patients who have minor risk factors for cancer, inflammatory back disease, vertebral compression fracture, radiculopathy, or symptomatic spinal stenosis. Subsequent imaging should be based on new symptoms or changes in current symptoms." The medical notes provided did not document (physical exam, objective testing, or subjective complaints) any red flags, significant worsening in symptoms or other findings suggestive of the pathologies outlined in the above guidelines. The medical documentation shows the patient found physical therapy to be beneficial, physical therapy notes confirm progression and the patient self-discharged from taking Neurontin. As such, the request for MRI lumbar spine is not medically necessary.