

Case Number:	CM14-0020583		
Date Assigned:	05/02/2014	Date of Injury:	11/29/2011
Decision Date:	11/10/2014	UR Denial Date:	02/13/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/19/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Emergency Medicine and is licensed to practice in New York. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 60-year-old female who was injured on November 29, 2011. The patient continued to experience pain in her neck and lower back. Physical examination was notable for tenderness and spasm to the cervical and lumbar muscles. Diagnoses included cervical sprain/strain and lumbar sprain/strain. Treatment included medications and acupuncture. Requests for authorization for hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/325 mg # 30, omeprazole 20 mg #60, voltaren gel 1%, and zolpidem mg #30 were submitted for consideration.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

HYDROCODONE /APAP 7.5/325 MG #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation MTUS: CHRONIC PAIN MEDICAL TREATMENT GUIDELINES, ,

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 11, 74-96.

Decision rationale: Hydrocodone/APAP is the compounded medication containing hydrocodone and acetaminophen. Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that opioids are not recommended as a first line therapy. Opioid should be part of a treatment plan specific for the

patient and should follow criteria for use. Criteria for use include establishment of a treatment plan, determination if pain is nociceptive or neuropathic, failure of pain relief with non-opioid analgesics, setting of specific functional goals, and opioid contract with agreement for random drug testing. If analgesia is not obtained, opioids should be discontinued. The patient should be screened for likelihood that he or she could be weaned from the opioids if there is no improvement in pain of function. It is recommended for short term use if first-line options, such as acetaminophen or NSAIDS have failed. Opioids may be a safer choice for patients with cardiac and renal disease than antidepressants or anticonvulsants. Acetaminophen is recommended for treatment of chronic pain & acute exacerbations of chronic pain. Acetaminophen overdose is a well-known cause of acute liver failure. Hepatotoxicity from therapeutic doses is unusual. Renal insufficiency occurs in 1 to 2% of patients with overdose. The recommended dose for mild to moderate pain is 650 to 1000 mg orally every 4 hours with a maximum of 4 g/day. In this case the patient had been using opioid medication since at least July 2013. In addition there is no documentation that the patient has signed an opioid contract or that the patient has been participating in urine drug testing. Criteria for long-term opioid use have not been met. The request should not be authorized. The request is not medically necessary. The request is not medically necessary.

OMEPRAZOLE 20 MG #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation MTUS: CHRONIC PAIN MEDICAL TREATMENT GUIDELINES, ,

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 68.

Decision rationale: Omeprazole is a proton pump inhibitor (PPI). PPI's are used in the treatment of peptic ulcer disease and may be prescribed in patients who are using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and are at high risk for gastrointestinal events. Risk factors for high-risk events are age greater than 65, history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation, concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant, or high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). There is no documentation that the patient is using NSAID medications. The patient in this case did not have any of the risk factors for a gastrointestinal event. The request should not be authorized. The request is not medically necessary.

VOLTAREN GEL 1%: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation MTUS: CHRONIC PAIN MEDICAL TREATMENT GUIDELINES, ,

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 111-112. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Pain Diclofenac

Decision rationale: Voltaren gel is the topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) diclofenac. Topical NSAIDs have been shown to be superior to placebo in the treatment of osteoarthritis, but only in the short term and not for extended treatment. The effect appears to diminish over time. Absorption of the medication can occur and may have systemic side effects comparable to oral form. It is indicated for relief of osteoarthritis pain in joints that lend themselves to topical treatment (ankle, elbow, foot, hand, knee, and wrist). It has not been evaluated for treatment of the spine, hip or shoulder. There is no medical indication for the Voltaren gel. The request should not be authorized. The request is not medically necessary.

ZOLPIDEM 5 MG #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation MTUS: CHRONIC PAIN MEDICAL TREATMENT GUIDELINES, ,

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Pain, Zolpidem

Decision rationale: Zolpidem is a prescription short-acting nonbenzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for the short-term (usually two to six weeks) treatment of insomnia. Proper sleep hygiene is critical to the individual with chronic pain and often is hard to obtain. Various medications may provide short-term benefit. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) should be an important part of an insomnia treatment plan. A study of patients with persistent insomnia found that the addition of zolpidem immediate release to CBT was modestly beneficial during acute (first 6 weeks) therapy, but better long-term outcomes were achieved when zolpidem IR was discontinued and maintenance CBT continued. zolpidem is linked to a sharp increase in ED visits, so it should be used safely for only a short period of time. In this case there is no documentation of zolpidem usage. Duration and efficacy of treatment are not present. The request should not be authorized. The request is not medically necessary.