

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0184442		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	11/12/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	06/08/2009
<b>Decision Date:</b>	12/15/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/08/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	11/05/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Acupuncture and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 59 year old female injured worker with pain complains of lower back. Diagnoses included lumbago. Previous treatments included: oral medication, physical therapy, and work modifications amongst others. As the injured worker continued symptomatic, a request for acupuncture x10 was made by the primary treating physician. The requested care was denied on 10-08-14 by the UR reviewer. The reviewer rationale was not included in the records to be reviewed.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

#### **10 Acupuncture Visits for the Lumbar Spine: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

**Decision rationale:** In reviewing the records available, it does not appear that the injured worker has yet undergone an acupuncture trial. As the injured worker continued symptomatic despite previous care, an acupuncture trial for pain management and function improvement would have been reasonable and supported by the MTUS. The guidelines note that the amount to produce functional improvement is 3 to 6 treatments. The same guidelines could support additional care

based on the functional improvement(s) obtained with the trial. As the primary treating physician requested 8 acupuncture sessions, number of sessions that exceeds the guidelines without documenting any extraordinary circumstances, the request is seen as excessive, therefore not supported for medical necessity. The request for 10 Acupuncture Visits is not medically necessary.