

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0180150		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	11/04/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/26/1989
<b>Decision Date:</b>	12/10/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/01/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/29/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Emergency Medicine, and is licensed to practice in New York. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 60-year-old female who was injured on October 26, 1989. The patient continued to experience pain in lower back. Physical examination was notable for ambulation assisted with cane and grossly normal neurological examination. Diagnoses included diffuse chronic pain state due to fibromyalgia, morbid obesity, and sleep disorder. Treatment included medications. Request for authorization for gym membership for one year was submitted for consideration.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Renewal of gym membership for one (1) year:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Low Back, Gym Memberships

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 46-47.

**Decision rationale:** Exercise is recommended. There is strong evidence that exercise programs, including aerobic conditioning and strengthening, are superior to treatment programs that do not include exercise. There is no sufficient evidence to support the recommendation of any particular exercise regimen over any other exercise regimen. A therapeutic exercise program should be

initiated at the start of any treatment or rehabilitation program, unless exercise is contraindicated. Such programs should emphasize education, independence, and the importance of an on-going exercise regime. A recent study of the long term impact of aerobic exercise on musculoskeletal pain found that exercise was associated with a substantial and significant reduction in pain even after adjusting for gender, baseline BMI and attrition, and despite the fact that fractures, a significant predictor of pain, were slightly more common among exercisers. A recent trial concluded that active physical treatment, cognitive-behavioral treatment, and the two combined each resulted in equally significant improvement, much better compared to no treatment. Progressive walking, simple strength training, and stretching improved functional status, key symptoms, and self-efficacy in patients with fibromyalgia. Physical conditioning in chronic pain patients can have immediate and long-term benefits. Exercise programs aimed at improving general endurance (aerobic fitness) and muscular strength (especially of the back and abdomen) have been shown to benefit patients with acute low back problems. So far, it appears that the key to success in the treatment of low back pain is physical activity in any form, rather than through any specific activity. One of the problems with exercise, however, is that it is seldom defined in various research studies and its efficacy is seldom reported in any change in status, other than subjective complaints. If exercise is prescribed a therapeutic tool, some documentation of progress should be expected. While a home exercise program is of course recommended, more elaborate personal care where outcomes are not monitored by a health professional, such as gym memberships or advanced home exercise equipment, may not be covered under this guideline. In this case the patient was obese and was considering bariatric surgery. There is no documentation that the patient was participating in an exercise program. Participation in regular exercise can be accomplished without a gym membership. The request is not medically necessary.