

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0179794		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	11/06/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	02/13/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	12/12/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/07/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/29/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 48-year-old female with a 2/13/14 date of injury. At the time (7/7/14) of request for authorization for Physical Therapy, 3 x week x 4 weeks for the left knee, there is documentation of subjective (knee pain) and objective (tenderness over the medial and lateral joint line of the left knee and limited range of motion with crepitance) findings, current diagnoses (degenerative tear of the medial meniscus of left knee), and treatment to date (medications, Orthovisc injection, and 6 previous physical therapy treatments). Medical report identifies that previous physical therapy treatments decreased pain and improved tolerance to activity.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Physical Therapy 3 times per week times 4 weeks for the left knee: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines physical medicine Page(s): 98. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Knee, Physical therapy (PT) Title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9792.20

**Decision rationale:** MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support a brief course of physical medicine for patients with chronic pain not to exceed 10 visits over 4-8 weeks with allowance for fading of treatment frequency, with transition to an active self-directed program of independent home physical medicine/therapeutic exercise. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. ODG recommends a limited course of physical therapy for patients with a diagnosis of tear of medial meniscus of knee not to exceed 9 visits over 8 weeks. ODG also notes patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy) and when treatment requests exceeds guideline recommendations, the physician must provide a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of a diagnosis of degenerative tear of the medial meniscus of left knee. In addition, given documentation that previous physical therapy treatments decreased pain and improved tolerance to activity, there is documentation of functional benefit and improvement as an increase in activity tolerance as a result of physical therapy provided to date. However, given documentation of 6 previous physical therapy treatments and a request of Physical Therapy, 3 times per week times 4 weeks for the left knee, which exceeds guidelines, there is no documentation of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.