

Case Number:	CM14-0179793		
Date Assigned:	11/04/2014	Date of Injury:	04/07/2003
Decision Date:	12/10/2014	UR Denial Date:	10/21/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/29/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation and is licensed to practice in Texas. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

Claimant is a 51 year old male who sustained a work injury on 4-7-03. Office visit on 10-13-14 notes the claimant reports right knee pain that is aggravated by walking and standing. His pain is rated 8/10. The claimant has increased stiffness and give out feeling. The claimant reported that medications only work for a few hours.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) pain chapter - opioids

Decision rationale: Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines as well as Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) notes that ongoing use of opioids require ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how

long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or nonadherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug-taking behaviors). There is an absence in documentation noting that the claimant has functional improvement with this medication. Quantification of improvement, if any, or any documentation that this medication improves psychosocial functioning. The claimant reports worsening and high levels of pain rated as 8/10 despite the use of medications. Therefore, the medical necessity of this request is not established.

Omeprazole 20mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms and cardiovascular risk.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines GI symptoms Page(s): 68.

Decision rationale: Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines notes that PPI are indicated for patients with intermediate or high risk for GI events. There is an absence in documentation noting that this claimant has secondary GI effects due to the use of medications or that he is at an intermediate or high risk for GI events. Additionally, with the Norco not indicated, the use of this medication is not supported. Therefore, the medical necessity of this request is not established.