

Case Number:	CM14-0179058		
Date Assigned:	11/03/2014	Date of Injury:	11/08/2004
Decision Date:	12/09/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/29/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/28/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a male patient with the date of injury of November 8, 2004. A Utilization Review dated September 29, 2014 recommended modification of 1 prescription of Norco 10/325mg #120 to 1 prescription of Norco 10/325mg #72 between 8/28/2014 and 11/25/2014. A Follow-up Report dated August 28, 2014 identifies Subjective Complaints of low back complaints. He denies any side effects. Physical Examination identifies spasm and tenderness over the cervical spine with normal range of motion with complaint of pain in all directions. Impression identifies chronic cervical pain with radiculopathy, cervical fusion, and chronic lumbar pain. Recommendation identifies Norco will be refilled at #120 tablets.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Norco, On-Going Management, Weaning of Medications.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 44, 47, 75-79, 120 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen), California Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that Norco is an opiate pain medication. Due to high

abuse potential, close follow-up is recommended with documentation of analgesic effect, objective functional improvement, side effects, and discussion regarding any aberrant use. Guidelines go on to recommend discontinuing opioids if there is no documentation of improved function and pain. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the medication is improving the patient's function or pain (in terms of specific examples of functional improvement and percent reduction in pain or reduced NRS) and no discussion regarding aberrant use. As such, there is no clear indication for ongoing use of the medication. Opioids should not be abruptly discontinued, but unfortunately, there is no provision to modify the current request to allow tapering. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is not medically necessary.