

Case Number:	CM14-0178087		
Date Assigned:	10/31/2014	Date of Injury:	06/20/2011
Decision Date:	12/08/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/26/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/27/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Preventive Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 63-year-old male with a 6/20/11 date of injury. At the time (8/27/14) of request for authorization for Norco 10/325 mg # 90, there is documentation of subjective (chronic severe low back pain radiating to the left leg with numbness and tingling; left foot pain, left ankle pain, and difficulty walking) and objective (tenderness across the lumbar paraspinal muscles with decreased range of motion, positive straight leg raise testing on the left, and antalgic gait) findings, current diagnoses (internal derangement of the left ankle, left big toe fracture, chronic pain syndrome, and discogenic lumbar condition with severe stenosis), and treatment to date (ongoing therapy with Norco since at least 3/5/14 with decreased pain levels and increased ability to function). There is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325 mg # 90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 75,78.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-80. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: Title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9792.20

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines necessitate documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of opioids. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of internal derangement of the left ankle, left big toe fracture, chronic pain syndrome, and discogenic lumbar condition with severe stenosis. In addition, given documentation of ongoing treatment with Norco with decreased pain levels and increased ability to function, there is documentation of functional benefit or improvement as an increase in activity tolerance as a result of Norco use to date. However, there is no documentation that the prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; the lowest possible dose is being prescribed; and there will be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Norco 10/325 mg # 90 is not medically necessary.