

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0177681		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/31/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	07/24/2002
<b>Decision Date:</b>	12/18/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	10/07/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/27/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in American Board of Family Practice and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 61-year-old male claimant who sustained a work injury on July 24, 2002 involving the low back. He was diagnosed with lumbar radiculopathy. He had previously undergone lumbar epidural injections. A progress note on July 28, 2014 indicated the claimant had 8/10 pain. Exam findings were notable for lumbar paraspinal tenderness, positive lumbar facet loading maneuvers and dullness to pinprick in the right lateral thigh with leg numbness. He was treated with Norco and Gabapentin for pain relief as well as topical Voltaren gel. A recent request was made on October 14, 2014 for continuing Norco as well as using an unspecified transdermal cream.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325mg #90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids Page(s): 82-92.

**Decision rationale:** Norco is a short acting opioid used for breakthrough pain. According to the MTUS guidelines, it is not indicated as 1st line therapy for neuropathic pain, and chronic back pain . It is not indicated for mechanical or compressive etiologies. It is recommended for a trial

basis for short-term use. Long Term-use has not been supported by any trials. In this case, the claimant had been on Norco for several months without significant improvement in pain or function. The continued use of Norco is not medically necessary.

**Transdermal compound cream (unspecified):** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES (ODG)

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines topical analgesics Page(s): 111-112.

**Decision rationale:** According to the MTUS guidelines, topical analgesics are recommended as an option as indicated below. They are largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. In this case, the type of compound was not specified not its intended use, duration or location of application. The topical compounded cream is not medically necessary.