

Case Number:	CM14-0175707		
Date Assigned:	10/28/2014	Date of Injury:	08/14/2001
Decision Date:	12/05/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/30/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/23/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine & rehabilitation and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 61-year-old male with an 8/14/01 date of injury. At the time (8/19/14) of request for authorization for 3x4 Chiropractic and Physiotherapy left knee, there is documentation of subjective (left knee pain) and objective (tenderness to palpation over left medial as well as lateral joint line, decreased left knee range of motion with crepitus, and positive McMurray's sign) findings, current diagnoses (internal derangement of left knee and medial meniscus tear), and treatment to date (medications, acupuncture therapy, and 6 sessions of physical therapy treatments). There is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medical services as a result of previous physical therapy treatments completed to date; and a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

3x4 Chiropractic and Physiotherapy left knee: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Physical Therapy; and Knee Chiropractic Manipulation

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 298-299, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG Knee & Leg, Manipulation and Physical Therapy (PT) Other Medical Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: Title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9792.20

Decision rationale: Specifically regarding chiropractic treatment, MTUS reference to ACOEM Guidelines identifies that manipulation does not appear to be effective in alleviating knee pain. ODG identifies that manipulation is not recommended and that there are no studies showing that manipulation is proven effective for patients with knee and leg complaints. Specifically regarding Physiotherapy, MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support a brief course of physical medicine for patients with chronic pain not to exceed 10 visits over 4-8 weeks with allowance for fading of treatment frequency, with transition to an active self-directed program of independent home physical medicine/ therapeutic exercise. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. ODG recommends a limited course of physical therapy for patients with a diagnosis of Tear of medial meniscus of Knee not to exceed 9 visits over 8 weeks. ODG also notes patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy) and when treatment requests exceeds guideline recommendations, the physician must provide a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of internal derangement of left knee and medial meniscus tear. In addition, there is documentation of 6 sessions of physical therapy treatments completed to date, functional deficits, and functional goals. However, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medical services as a result of previous physical therapy treatments completed to date. In addition, given that the requested 12 physical therapy visits, in addition to the treatments already completed, would exceed guidelines, there is no documentation of a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for 3x4 Chiropractic and Physiotherapy left knee is not medically necessary.