

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0170920		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	10/23/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	12/05/2013
<b>Decision Date:</b>	11/25/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	09/29/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	10/16/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is licensed in Acupuncture and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 28 y/o male patient with pain complains of the lower back, and left foot-ankle. Diagnoses included sprain of ankle-foot, lumbar discogenic syndrome. Previous treatments included: spinal injections, oral medication, chiropractic-physical therapy, acupuncture (unknown number of sessions, gains reported as "slowly improving", report dated 04-02-14 from [REDACTED] and work modifications amongst others. As the patient continued symptomatic, a request for additional acupuncture x6 was made on 09-17-14 by the PTP. The requested care was denied on 09-29-14 by the UR reviewer. The reviewer rationale was "the amount of prior acupuncture is unknown.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**ACUPUNCTURE:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

**Decision rationale:** Current guidelines read extension of acupuncture care could be supported for medical necessity "if functional improvement is documented as either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions and a reduction in the

dependency on continued medical treatment."Prior acupuncture care was rendered with no evidence of any significant improvement (medication intake reduction, work restrictions reduction, function Ads improvements, etc) provided to support the reasonableness and necessity of the additional acupuncture requested. Therefore, the additional acupuncture x6 is not supported for medical necessity.