

Case Number:	CM14-0167190		
Date Assigned:	10/14/2014	Date of Injury:	03/09/2012
Decision Date:	11/17/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/17/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/10/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in General Preventive Medicine and is licensed to practice in Indiana. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This employee is a 57 year old female with date of injury of 3/9/2012. A review of the medical records indicates that the patient is undergoing treatment for right forearm tendinitis and status post right thumb arthroplasty. Subjective complaints include none; she has no pain and no decreased function of her hand or forearms. Objective findings include stiffness of right thumb at the CMC joint. Treatment has included Voltaren and physical therapy. The utilization review dated 9/17/2014 non-certified a functional capacity evaluation.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Functional Capacity Evaluation: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Functional Capacity Evaluation.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 2 General Approach to Initial Assessment and Documentation Page(s): 21-42. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Fitness for Duty, Functional capacity evaluation (FCE)

Decision rationale: ACOEM guidelines state "Consider using a functional capacity evaluation when necessary to translate medical impairment into functional limitations and determine work capability". Additionally, "It may be necessary to obtain a more precise delineation of patient

capabilities than is available from routine physical examination. Under some circumstances, this can best be done by ordering a functional capacity evaluation of the patient." Progress notes by the treating physicians clearly outline what the patient's limitations are and make no indication that additional delineation of the patient's capabilities are necessary to determine return to work. Official Disability Guidelines further specifies guidelines for functional capacity evaluations "Recommended prior to admission to a Work Hardening (WH) Program.", "An FCE is time-consuming and cannot be recommended as a routine evaluation.", "Consider an FCE if 1. Case management is hampered by complex issues such as: - Prior unsuccessful RTW attempts. - Conflicting medical reporting on precautions and/or fitness for modified job. - Injuries that require detailed exploration of a worker's abilities. 2. Timing is appropriate: - Close or at MMI/all key medical reports secured. - Additional/secondary conditions clarified." The medical documents provided do not indicate that any of the above criteria were met. As such, the request for baseline functional capacity evaluation is not medically indicated.