

Case Number:	CM14-0166904		
Date Assigned:	10/14/2014	Date of Injury:	02/16/2006
Decision Date:	11/25/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/25/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/09/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Family Medicine, and is licensed to practice in North Carolina. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The claimant has a date of injury of 2/16/2006. Diagnosis is cervical myelopathy. Treatment includes medication and TENS unit used 3 days a week with improvement in his pain. The request is for TENS unit and 2 packs of electrodes and batteries.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

DME Supplies 2 pack of electrodes and 2 pack of batteries: Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 116.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS states that TENS units are not first line therapy but may be considered if those treatments have failed. Indications for use include: Chronic intractable pain with documentation of pain of at least three months duration, evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried(including medication) and failed, a one-month trial period of the TENS unit should be documented (as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach) with documentation of how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function; rental would be preferred over purchase during

this trial. Other ongoing pain treatment should also be documented during the trial period including medication usage. A treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS unit should be submitted. A 2-lead unit is generally recommended; if a 4-lead unit is recommended, there must be documentation of why this is necessary. In this case the medical record documents that the claimant already has a TENS unit and needs supplies for its use. Pain has increased in the time period that no supplies have been available to him. 2 pack electrodes and 2 pack batteries are medically necessary.

TENS for Multiple body parts a purchase: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 116.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS states that TENS units are not first line therapy but may be considered if those treatments have failed. Indications for use include : Chronic intractable pain with documentation of pain of at least three months duration, evidence that other appropriate pain modalities have been tried(including medication) and failed, a one-month trial period of the TENS unit should be documented (as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach) with documentation of how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function; rental would be preferred over purchase during this trial. Other ongoing pain treatment should also be documented during the trial period including medication usage. A treatment plan including the specific short- and long-term goals of treatment with the TENS unit should be submitted. A 2-lead unit is generally recommended; if a 4-lead unit is recommended, there must be documentation of why this is necessary. In this case the medical record documents that the claimant already has a TENS unit and needs supplies for its use. There is no documented medical necessity for TENS unit purchase.