

Case Number:	CM14-0166615		
Date Assigned:	10/13/2014	Date of Injury:	01/28/2013
Decision Date:	12/12/2014	UR Denial Date:	10/02/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/09/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Rheumatology, has a subspecialty in Internal Medicine and is licensed to practice in Maryland. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 49 year old male with date of injury 1/28/2003. The mechanism of injury is not stated in the available medical records. The patient has complained of left shoulder and left elbow pain since the date of injury. He has been treated with physical therapy, injections and medications. There are no radiographic data included for review. Objective: decreased and painful range of motion of the left shoulder; mild decrease in abduction of the left shoulder; decreased and painful range of motion of the left elbow; tenderness to palpation of the left lateral epicondyle; positive Tinel's sign left elbow. Diagnoses: left shoulder pain, left elbow pain. Treatment plan and request: Norco, Oxycontin.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opiods, criteria for use Page(s): 76-85, 88-89.

Decision rationale: This 49 year old male has complained of left shoulder and left elbow pain since date of injury 1/28/2003. He has been treated with physical therapy, injections and

medications to include opioids since at least 03/2013. The current request is for Norco. No treating physician reports adequately assess the patient with respect to function, specific benefit, return to work, signs of abuse or treatment alternatives other than opioids. There is no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids according to the MTUS section cited above which recommends prescribing according to function, with specific functional goals, return to work, random drug testing, opioid contract and documentation of failure of prior non-opioid therapy. On the basis of this lack of documentation and failure to adhere to the MTUS guidelines, Norco is not indicated as medically necessary.

Oxycontin 10mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use Page(s): 76-85, 88-89.

Decision rationale: This 49 year old male has complained of left shoulder and left elbow pain since date of injury 1/28/2003. He has been treated with physical therapy, injections and medications to include opioids since at least 03/2013. The current request is for Oxycontin. No treating physician reports adequately assess the patient with respect to function, specific benefit, return to work, signs of abuse or treatment alternatives other than opioids. There is no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids according to the MTUS section cited above which recommends prescribing according to function, with specific functional goals, return to work, random drug testing, opioid contract and documentation of failure of prior non-opioid therapy. On the basis of this lack of documentation and failure to adhere to the MTUS guidelines, Oxycontin is not indicated as medically necessary.