

Case Number:	CM14-0157720		
Date Assigned:	10/01/2014	Date of Injury:	11/16/2002
Decision Date:	10/28/2014	UR Denial Date:	08/29/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/25/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Family Medicine and is licensed to practice in North Carolina. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The claimant had an original date of injury of 11/16/2002. He is treated for chronic pain in back and left leg. Prior treatment included lumbar surgery. Current treatment includes Oxycodone 40 mg twice a day, Percocet 10/325 mg q 4 hours and Soma 350 mg three times a day. The requests are for Percocet 10/325 #180 and Soma 350 #90.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Percocet 10/325mg #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Criteria for Use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 74-89.

Decision rationale: The CA MTUS allows for the use of opioid medication, such as Percocet, for the management of chronic pain and outlines clearly the documentation that would support the need for ongoing use of an opioid. These steps include documenting pain and functional improvement using validated measures at 6 months intervals, documenting the presence or absence of any adverse effects, documenting the efficacy of any other treatments and of any other medications used in pain treatment. The medical record in this case does not use any

validated method of recording the response of pain to the opioid medication or of documenting any functional improvement. It does not address the efficacy of concomitant medication therapy. Therefore, the record does not support medical necessity of ongoing opioid therapy with Percocet.

Soma 350mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol (Soma).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 63-66.

Decision rationale: The CA MTUS allows for the use, with caution, of non-sedating muscle relaxers as second line treatment for acute exacerbations of chronic low back pain. While they may be effective in reducing pain and muscle tension, most studies show no benefits beyond NSAIDs in pain relief. Efficacy diminishes over time and prolonged use may lead to dependency. There is no recommendation for ongoing use in chronic pain. The medical record in this case does not document an acute exacerbation and the request is for ongoing regular daily use of Soma. This is not medically necessary.