

Case Number:	CM14-0153593		
Date Assigned:	09/23/2014	Date of Injury:	06/24/2008
Decision Date:	10/24/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/09/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/19/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management, and is licensed to practice in Tennessee. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 53 year old male with a 6/24/2008 date of injury. The exact mechanism of the original injury was not clearly described. Recent progress report noted subjective complaints of right thigh numbness. Objective findings included lumbar paraspinal tenderness. Diagnostic Impression: lumbar radiculopathy Treatment to Date: medication management A UR decision dated modified the request for Norco #30, certifying #23. There is no reported improvement of any kind. There is lack of proof of improved functioning and lack of proof of pain reduction

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opiates Page(s): 78-81.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines do not support ongoing opioid treatment unless prescriptions are from a single practitioner and are taken as directed; are prescribed at the lowest possible dose; and unless there is ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects.

However, given the 2008 date of injury, the duration of opiate use to date is not clear. There is no discussion regarding non-opiate means of pain control, or endpoints of treatment. The records do not clearly reflect continued analgesia, continued functional benefit, a lack of adverse side effects, or aberrant behavior. Although opiates may be appropriate, additional information would be necessary, as CA MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines require clear and concise documentation for ongoing management. Therefore, the request for Norco #30 was not medically necessary.