

Case Number:	CM14-0150850		
Date Assigned:	09/26/2014	Date of Injury:	12/07/2011
Decision Date:	12/02/2014	UR Denial Date:	08/26/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/16/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Orthopedic Spine Surgery and is licensed to practice in Texas. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49-year-old female who reported an injury on 12/07/2011. The mechanism of injury involved a fall. The current diagnosis is lumbosacral disc degeneration. The injured worker was evaluated on 08/18/2014. Previous conservative treatment is noted to include physical therapy, chiropractic treatment, epidural steroid injections, and medications. The injured worker presented with complaints of persistent lower back pain with left lower extremity pain. Physical examination revealed weakness in the left lower extremity, positive straight leg raising on the left and limited lumbar range of motion. Treatment recommendations at that time included an anterior lumbar discectomy and interbody fusion with fixation followed by a posterior spinal fixation and fusion at L4-5 and L5-S1. A Request for Authorization form was then submitted on 08/20/2014. It is noted that the injured worker underwent an x-ray of the lumbar spine on 08/18/2014 which indicated no instability with flexion and extension and grade 1 anterolisthesis at L5-S1. The injured worker also underwent an MRI of the lumbar spine on 07/02/2014 which indicated spondylolisthesis of L5 on S1 with moderate right and moderate to severe left neural foraminal stenosis, as well as L4-5 disc desiccation with left sub articular recess stenosis.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) L3-S1 with one Open Reduction Posterior Spinal Fusion (PSF/I) L3-S1: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Treatment Index, 12th Edition (web), 2014, Low Back Chapter, Fusion (Spinal)

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 305-306. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back Chapter, Fusion (Spinal).

Decision rationale: California MTUS/ACOEM Practice Guidelines state a referral for surgical consultation is indicated for patients who have severe and disabling lower extremity symptoms; activity limitation for more than 1 month; clear clinical, imaging, electrophysiologic evidence of a lesion; and a failure of conservative treatment. The Official Disability Guidelines state preoperative surgical indications for a spinal fusion should include the identification and treatment of all pain generators, the completion of all physical medicine and manual therapy interventions, documented instability upon x-ray or CT myelogram, spine pathology that is limited to 2 levels, and a psychosocial screening. As per the documentation submitted, there was no evidence of a significant functional limitation upon physical examination. There is also documentation of spinal instability upon flexion and extension view radiographs. The Official Disability Guidelines do not recommend a spinal fusion at more than 2 levels. Therefore, the current request cannot be determined as medically appropriate at this time. As such, the request is not medically necessary.

Assistant Surgeon: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Consultation with Vascular Co-Surgeon: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Two (2) Day Inpatient Stay: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Medical Clearance: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Postoperative purchase of Bone Growth Stimulator: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Postoperative purchase of Lumbar Back Brace: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative Chest X-Ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative EKG (Echocardiogram): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative CBC (Complete Blood Count): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative CMP (Comprehensive Metabolic Panel): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative PT (Prothrombin Time): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative PTT(Partial Thromboplastin Time): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative INR (International Normalized Ratio): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative UA (Urinalysis): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.