

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0145677		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	09/12/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	07/30/2004
<b>Decision Date:</b>	11/05/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	08/20/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	09/08/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Neurology, has a subspecialty in Neuromuscular Medicine and is licensed to practice in New Jersey. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 42 year old woman who sustained a work related injury on July 30 2004. Subsequently, she developed a chronic back pain. According to a progress report dated on March 3 2014, no physical examination was provided. No other information was provided. The provider requested authorization to use the following medications.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Tizanidine HCL 2mg #60 with 2 refills:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants (for pain).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants Page(s): 63.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, a non sedating muscle relaxant is recommended with caution as a second line option for short term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic lumbosacral pain. Efficacy appears to diminish over time and prolonged use may cause dependence. Tizanidine was used in this patient without clear evidence of spasm or objective monitoring of the drug effect on the patient condition. The patient in this case does

not have clear evidence of spasm and the prolonged use of Tizanidine 2 mg is not justified. The request of Tizanidine is not medically necessary.

**Ibuprofen 800 mg #90 with 3 refills: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NONSELECTIVE NSAIDS Page(s): 107.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines chapter, NONSELECTIVE NSAIDS section, Ibuprofen is indicated for pain management of breakthrough of neck or back pain. The medication should be used at the lowest dose and for a short period of time. There is no documentation that the patient developed exacerbation of his pain. There is no documentation that the lowest dose and shortest period is used for this patient. Although the patient developed a chronic pain that may require Ibuprofen, there is no documentation that the provider recommended the lowest dose of Ibuprofen for the shortest period of time. There is no documentation of pain and functional improvement with previous use of Ibuprofen. Therefore, the prescription of Ibuprofen 800 mg #90 with 3 refills is not medically necessary.

**Celebrex 100mg #60 with 3 refills: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Anti inflammatory medications Page(s): 27-30.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, Celebrex is indicated in case of back pain especially in case of failure or contraindication of NSAIDs. There is no clear documentation that the patient failed previous use of NSAIDs. There is no documentation of contra indication of other NSAIDs. There is no justification of the combination of Celebrex and Ibuprofen. Therefore, the prescription of Celebrex 100mg #60 with 3 refills is not medically necessary.

**Lumbar translaminal epidural steroid injection with fluoroscopy: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural steroid injections (ESIs).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 309.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, epidural steroid injection is optional for radicular pain to avoid surgery. It may offer short term benefit, however there is no significant

long term benefit or reduction for the need of surgery. Furthermore, the patient file does not document that the patient is candidate for surgery. In addition, there is no recent clinical and objective documentation of radiculopathy. MTUS guidelines does not recommend epidural injections for back pain without radiculopathy (309). Therefore, lumbar epidural steroid injection is not medically necessary.