

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM14-0136075		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	09/03/2014	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	09/10/1990
<b>Decision Date:</b>	09/25/2014	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	07/25/2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	08/23/2014

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Internal Medicine and is licensed to practice in New York. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The claimant is a 58 yo female who sustained an industrial injury on 09/10/1990. The mechanism of injury was not provided for review. Her diagnoses include chronic low back pain, neuropathic pain, post laminectomy syndrome- cervical, post laminectomy syndrome- lumbar, left lumbosacral radiculopathy, lumbago, and chronic opioid dependence. She continues to complain of neck and low back pain. On physical exam there is tenderness to palpation of the cervical facets C3-C6. There is decreased range of motion of the lumbar spine. Motor and sensory exams of the lower extremities are normal. Treatment has included medical therapy with opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, and topical compounded medications. The treating provider has requested Flurbiprofen compound 300ml ( 4 week supply) with 2 refills.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Flurbiprofen Compound 300 ml (4 week supply) with 2 refills:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 11-113-.

**Decision rationale:** There is no documentation provided necessitating use of the requested topical medication. Per California MTUS Guidelines topical analgesics are primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed. These agents are applied topically to painful areas with advantages that include lack of systemic side effects, absence of drug interactions, and no need to titrate. Many agents are compounded as monotherapy or in combination for pain control ( including NSAIDs, opioids, capsaicin, local anesthetics, antidepressants, glutamate receptor antagonists, alpha-adrenergic receptor agonist, adenosine, cannabinoids, cholinergic receptor agonists, gamma agonists, prostanooids, bradykinin, adenosine triphosphate, biogenic amines, and nerve growth factor) Any compounded product that contains at least one drug ( or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. There is no specific indication for the use of topical Flurbiprofen as the claimant is maintained on oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory therapy. Medical necessity for the requested item has not been established. The requested treatment is not medically necessary.