

Case Number:	CM14-0130987		
Date Assigned:	09/19/2014	Date of Injury:	10/14/2013
Decision Date:	10/21/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/14/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/15/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, with a subspecialty in Pain Management, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 40-year-old female with a October 14, 2013 date of injury. At the time of the request for authorization for Pain Management for the thoracic spine, there is documentation of subjective (pain in her neck, in the upper back, and in the hip) and objective (the patient is right-handed) findings, current diagnoses (thoracic sprain and left glut med/max sprain/strain), and treatment to date (medication). There is no documentation of a rationale identifying how the requested Pain Management for the thoracic spine will aid in the diagnosis, prognosis, therapeutic management, determination of medical stability, and permanent residual loss and/or the examinee's fitness for return to work.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Pain management for the thoracic spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM), 2nd Edition, (2004) Independent Medical Examinations and consultations, page(s) 127

Decision rationale: MTUS reference to ACOEM guidelines identifies that consultation is indicated to aid in the diagnosis, prognosis, therapeutic management, determination of medical stability, and permanent residual loss and/or the examinee's fitness for return to work, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity to support the medical necessity of consultation. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of thoracic sprain and left glut med/max sprain/strain. However, there is no documentation of a rationale identifying how the requested Pain Management for the thoracic spine will aid in the diagnosis, prognosis, therapeutic management, determination of medical stability, and permanent residual loss and/or the examinee's fitness for return to work. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Pain Management for the thoracic spine is not medically necessary or appropriate.