

Case Number:	CM14-0130527		
Date Assigned:	08/25/2014	Date of Injury:	11/21/2011
Decision Date:	11/24/2014	UR Denial Date:	08/07/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/15/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Preventive Medicine, has a subspecialty in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in Iowa. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This patient is a 48 year old employee with date of injury 11/21/2011. Medical records indicate the patient is undergoing treatment for s/p left knee arthroscopic partial lateral meniscectomy with debridement; chronic left ischial tuberosity bursitis and rule out left sciatica versus occult popliteal. Subjective complaints include persistent left knee pain, with radiation to buttock with numbness, tingling and weakness. Objective findings include tenderness over the left ischial tuberosity. Increased pain with straight leg raise while supine. Bakers cyst noted on MRI. Treatment has consisted of Arthroscopic debridement with partial lateral meniscectomy. Patient uses a TENS unit. Medications include Gabapentin, Flurbiprofen and Tramadol ER. The utilization review determination was rendered on 8/07/2014 Recommending non-certification of urine toxicology.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Urine Toxicology: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Drug testing.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids and Substance abuse Page(s): 74-96;108-109. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation University of Michigan Health System Guidelines for Clinical Care: Managing Chronic Non-

terminal Pain, Including Prescribing Controlled Substances (May 2009), pg 32 Established Patients Using a Controlled Substance

Decision rationale: MTUS states that use of urine drug screening for illegal drugs should be considered before therapeutic trial of opioids are initiated. Additionally, "Use of drug screening or inpatient treatment with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. Documentation of misuse of medications (doctor-shopping, uncontrolled drug escalation, drug diversion) would indicate need for urine drug screening. There is insufficient documentation provided to suggest issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control by the treating physician. University of Michigan Health System Guidelines for Clinical Care: Managing Chronic Non-terminal Pain, Including Prescribing Controlled Substances (May 2009) recommends for stable patients without red flags "twice yearly urine drug screening for all chronic non-malignant pain patients receiving opioids - once during January-June and another July-December". The patient has been on chronic opioid therapy. The treating physician has not indicated why a urine drug screen is necessary at this time and has provided no evidence of red flags. As such, the request for U/A TEST FOR TOXICOLOGY is not medically necessary.