

Case Number:	CM14-0125624		
Date Assigned:	09/26/2014	Date of Injury:	07/23/2009
Decision Date:	12/05/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/08/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/07/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Preventive Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 61-year-old male with a 7/23/09 date of injury. At the time (6/18/14) of the request for authorization for spinal manipulative therapy and manual times twelve (12), there is documentation of subjective (intermittent low back pain) and objective (positive Kemp's, straight leg raise, Milgram's, and Yeoman's; decreased cervical and dorso-lumbar spine range of motion) findings, current diagnoses (radiculitis and lumbar disc degeneration), and treatment to date (spinal manipulative therapy). The number of spinal manipulative therapy sessions completed to date cannot be determined. In addition, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services with previous spinal manipulative therapy sessions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Spinal manipulative therapy and manual times twelve (12): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manipulation-low back.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manual Therapy & manipulation Page(s): 58. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Other Medical

Treatment Guideline or Medical Evidence: Title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9792.20

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines identifies that manual therapy/manipulation is recommended for chronic pain if caused by musculoskeletal conditions, and that the intended goal or effect of manual medicine is the achievement of positive symptomatic or objective measurable gains in functional improvement that facilitate progression in the patient's therapeutic exercise program and return to productive activities. MTUS additionally supports a total of up to 18 visits over 6-8 weeks. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of radiculitis and lumbar disc degeneration. In addition, there is documentation of treatment with previous spinal manipulative therapy. However, the number of spinal manipulative therapy sessions completed to date cannot be determined. In addition, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services with previous spinal manipulative therapy sessions. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for spinal manipulative therapy and manual times twelve (12) is not medically necessary.