

Case Number:	CM14-0124542		
Date Assigned:	08/11/2014	Date of Injury:	05/28/2014
Decision Date:	10/14/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/18/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/06/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 31-year-old female with a 5/28/14 date of injury. At the time (7/11/14) of request for authorization for MRI lumbar spine, there is documentation of subjective (low back pain and numbness of the lower extremities when standing for long period of time) and objective (mild tenderness to palpitation over the sacral area and the S1 joints) findings, current diagnoses (lumbar radiculopathy and lumbar strain), and treatment to date (medications). There is no documentation of red flag diagnoses where plain film radiographs are negative; objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination, failure of conservative treatment, and consideration for surgery.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303-304;.

Decision rationale: MTUS reference to ACOEM guidelines identifies documentation of red flag diagnoses where plain film radiographs are negative; objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination, failure of conservative treatment, and who are

considered for surgery, as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of MRI. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of lumbar radiculopathy and lumbar strain. However, there is no documentation of red flag diagnoses where plain film radiographs are negative; objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination, failure of conservative treatment, and consideration for surgery. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for MRI lumbar spine is not medically necessary.