

| | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Case Number: | CM14-0124356 | | |
| Date Assigned: | 09/25/2014 | Date of Injury: | 04/27/2012 |
| Decision Date: | 10/27/2014 | UR Denial Date: | 07/29/2014 |
| Priority: | Standard | Application Received: | 08/06/2014 |

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Family Medicine, and is licensed to practice in North Carolina. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The claimant had an original date of injury of 4/27/2012. She has symptoms of low back pain with radicular pain in right leg. Treatments have included physical therapy, home exercise program, ice, anti-inflammatory medications. The request is for MRI of lumbar spine.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of the Lumbar Spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303.

Decision rationale: ACOEM chapter on back complaints describes that MRI is indicated when there are unequivocal objective findings of specific nerve compromise in a person with symptoms who do not respond to treatment and for whom surgery would be a reasonable intervention. IN this case, the medical record describes only a persistence of back pain symptoms with no focal objective neurologic deficits. MRI of the lumbar spine is not medically indicated.