MAXIMUS Federal Services

Case Number:	CM14-0119154		
Date Assigned:	08/06/2014	Date of Injury:	08/11/2011
Decision Date:	10/10/2014	UR Denial Date:	06/30/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/29/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation and is licensed to practice in Nevada. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The records presented for review indicate that this 37 year-old female was reportedly injured on August 11, 2011. The mechanism of injury is noted as a minor blunt force trauma to the posterior Aspen the head when she struck her head against the tailgate. The most recent progress note, dated June 4, 2014, indicates that there are ongoing complaints of neck pain. The physical examination demonstrated tenderness to palpation, a decreased range of motion, and some muscle spasm without any evidence of motor function loss. Diagnostic imaging studies objectified multiple level cervical spine disc lesions. Previous treatment includes multiple medications, physical therapy and other pain management interventions. A request had been made for injection therapy and was not certified in the pre-authorization process on June 30, 2014.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Outpatient bilateral C4-5 transforaminal epidural injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: MTUS guidelines support epidural steroid injections when radiculopathy is documented on physical examination and corroborated by imaging and electrodiagnostic studies in individuals who have not improved with conservative care. Based on the clinical documentation provided, and considering the criteria for the use of epidural steroid injections as outlined in the MTUS; there is insufficient clinical evidence presented that the proposed procedure meets the MTUS guidelines.

Outpatient bilateral C5-6 transforaminal epidural injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: MTUS guidelines support epidural steroid injections when radiculopathy is documented on physical examination and corroborated by imaging and electrodiagnostic studies in individuals who have not improved with conservative care. Based on the clinical documentation provided, and considering the criteria for the use of epidural steroid injections as outlined in the MTUS; there is insufficient clinical evidence presented that the proposed procedure meets the MTUS guidelines.

Outpatient bilateral L4-5 transforaminal epidural injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: MTUS guidelines support epidural steroid injections when radiculopathy is documented on physical examination and corroborated by imaging and electrodiagnostic studies in individuals who have not improved with conservative care. Based on the clinical documentation provided, and considering the criteria for the use of epidural steroid injections as outlined in the MTUS; there is insufficient clinical evidence presented that the proposed procedure meets the MTUS guidelines. As such, the requested procedure is deemed not medically.

Outpatient bilateral L5-S1 transforaminal epidural injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM,Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: MTUS guidelines support epidural steroid injections when radiculopathy is documented on physical examination and corroborated by imaging and electrodiagnostic studies in individuals who have not improved with conservative care. Based on the clinical documentation provided, and considering the criteria for the use of epidural steroid injections as outlined in the MTUS; there is insufficient clinical evidence presented that the proposed procedure meets the MTUS guidelines. As such, the requested procedure is deemed not medically.