

Case Number:	CM14-0116777		
Date Assigned:	08/06/2014	Date of Injury:	10/19/2011
Decision Date:	09/23/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/08/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/25/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Family Practice and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52 year old female who sustained a work injury on 10/19/11 involving the neck and lower extremities. She was diagnosed with cervicalgia, failed neck syndrome and cervical radiculopathy. She underwent a cervical spine fusion from C5-C7. A progress note on 6/2/14 indicated the claimant had continued 5/10 neck pain. Exam findings were notable for a positive Spurling's sign and tenderness in the paraspinal musculature. The treating physician requested a TENS unit, oral analgesics and an epidural injection.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

TENS Unit for home use: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines TENS unit Page(s): 113-115.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS guidelines, a TENS unit is not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option. It is recommended for the following diagnoses: CRPS, multiple sclerosis, spasticity due to spinal cord injury and neuropathic pain due to diabetes or herpes. In

this case, the claimant did not have the above diagnoses. The length of use was not specified. The request for a TENS unit is not medically necessary.