

Case Number:	CM14-0113966		
Date Assigned:	08/01/2014	Date of Injury:	03/13/2006
Decision Date:	09/10/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/10/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/21/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is licensed in Chiropractic and Acupuncture, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 57 year old female who reported right knee, neck and right lower leg pain from injury sustained on March 13, 2006 -October 31, 2013 due to cumulative trauma. There were no diagnostic imaging reports. Patient is diagnosed with right knee sprain/strain, right lower leg pain and post-traumatic cephalgia. Per medical notes dated April 12, 2014, patient complains of occasional neck pain rated at 6-7/10 radiating to right shoulder. Pain is aggravated by stress. Patient complains of constant right knee pain rated at 8-9/10 associated with numbness. Pain is aggravated by standing and walking for 8 hours or more. Patient complains of right calf pain rated at 8-9/10, sleep disturbance and stress. Range of motion is painful and limited. Provider is requesting initial trial of 12 acupuncture treatments. Requested visits exceed the quantity of initial acupuncture visits supported by the cited guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture x 12: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: Per the California MTUS Guidelines "Acupuncture is used as an option when pain medication is reduced and not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery". "Time to produce function improvement: 3-6 treatments. 2) Frequency: 1-3 times per week. 3) Optimum duration: 1-2 months. Acupuncture treatments may be extended if functional improvement is documented". Patient has not had prior Acupuncture treatment. Per guidelines 3-6 treatments are supported for initial course of Acupuncture with evidence of functional improvement prior to consideration of additional care. Requested visits exceed the quantity of initial acupuncture visits supported by the cited guidelines. Additional visits may be rendered if the patient has documented objective functional improvement. The California MTUS- Definition 9792.20 (f) Functional improvement means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam. Per guidelines and review of evidence, 12 Acupuncture visits are not medically necessary.