

Case Number:	CM14-0110065		
Date Assigned:	09/16/2014	Date of Injury:	04/03/1999
Decision Date:	11/21/2014	UR Denial Date:	06/23/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/15/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, has a subspecialty in Pain Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a patient with a date of injury of 4/3/99. A utilization review determination dated 6/23/14 recommends modification of MS Contin from #120 with 2 refills to #120 with no refills. The only included medical report is dated 4/11/14 and is from a different provider than the requesting provider. The patient reported neck pain radiating into the right upper extremity (RUE) with spasms in the neck muscles and swelling of the right hand. Trigger point injections have been very helpful and provide 3-4 weeks of relief in the hand. The patient has been trying to reduce his pain medications, but is having a difficult time as is he has difficulty even eating due to his teeth pain. On exam, there are trigger points noted and decreased sensation C5-6 bilaterally. Recommendations include urgent dental consultation, MS Contin with instructions to cut down on medication use as much as possible, Norco, and trigger point injections. Opioid agreement was reviewed.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MS Contin 30mg #120 with refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 44, 47, 75-79, 120.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for MS Contin, California Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines note that it is an opiate pain medication. Due to high abuse potential, close follow-up is recommended with documentation of analgesic effect, objective functional improvement, side effects, and discussion regarding any aberrant use. Guidelines go on to recommend discontinuing opioids if there is no documentation of improved function and pain. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the medication is improving the patient's function or pain (in terms of specific examples of functional improvement and percent reduction in pain or reduced NRS), no documentation regarding side effects, and no discussion regarding aberrant use. As such, there is no clear indication for ongoing use of the medication. Opioids should not be abruptly discontinued, but unfortunately, there is no provision to modify the current request to allow tapering. In light of the above issues, the currently requested MS Contin is not medically necessary.