

Case Number:	CM14-0019688		
Date Assigned:	04/28/2014	Date of Injury:	09/30/1999
Decision Date:	08/20/2014	UR Denial Date:	01/24/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/18/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Emergency Medicine and is licensed to practice in New York. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 51-year-old male who was injured on September 30, 1999. The patient continued to experience pain in his neck and back. Physical examination was notable for limited cervical range of motion, tenderness across the back, and limited range of motion of the lumbar spine. Diagnoses included chronic neck pain, status post anterior cervical discectomy, chronic lower back pain, and status post lumbar spinal surgery. Treatment included surgery, epidural steroid injections, and medications. Request for authorization for electrical stimulation for pain was submitted for consideration.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

ELECTRICAL STIMULATION FOR PAIN: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Pain Interventions and Guidelines Page(s): 114-115.

Decision rationale: TENS units are not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option, if used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration. This includes

reductions in medication use, for neuropathic pain, phantom limb pain, spasticity, and multiple sclerosis. Several published evidence-based assessments of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) have found that evidence is lacking concerning effectiveness. Functional restoration programs (FRPs) are designed to use a medically directed, interdisciplinary pain management approach geared specifically to patients with chronic disabling occupational musculoskeletal disorders. These programs emphasize the importance of function over the elimination of pain. FRPs incorporate components of exercise progression with disability management and psychosocial intervention. The patient was not participating in a functional restoration program therefore, this request is not medically necessary.