

Case Number:	CM14-0015512		
Date Assigned:	02/28/2014	Date of Injury:	10/01/1994
Decision Date:	06/30/2014	UR Denial Date:	01/10/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/06/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 53-year-old male with a 10/1/94 date of injury. At the time of request for authorization for initial certified hand therapy 2x6, there is documentation of subjective findings of new onset bilateral hand numbness, tingling, intermittent locking, and triggering of the left and right second, third, and fourth digits and objective findings of positive Tinel's and Phalen's signs, compression with thumb abductor weakness, tenderness with palpable nodules, and triggering of the second, third, and fourth digits bilaterally. The current diagnoses are bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome and bilateral second, third, and fourth digit stenosing tenosynovitis. The treatment to date includes activity modification and medications. There is no documentation of a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

INITIAL CERTIFIED HAND THERAPY 2X6: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 58.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines physical medicine Page(s): 98. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines

(ODG) Forearm, Wrist, & Hand Chapter, Physical Therapy Other Medical Treatment
Guideline or Medical Evidence: Title 8, California Code of Regulations, section 9792.20

Decision rationale: California MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support a brief course of physical medicine for patients with chronic pain not to exceed 10 visits over 4-8 weeks with allowance for fading of treatment frequency, with transition to an active self-directed program of independent home physical medicine/therapeutic exercise. California MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. ODG recommends a limited course of physical therapy for patients with a diagnosis of tenosynovitis not to exceed 9 visits over 8 weeks. ODG also notes patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy) and when treatment requests exceeds guideline recommendations, the physician must provide a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome and bilateral second, third, and fourth digit stenosing tenosynovitis. However, given that the proposed number of sessions would exceed guidelines, there is no documentation of a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for initial certified hand therapy 2x6 is not medically necessary.