

Case Number:	CM14-0105601		
Date Assigned:	07/30/2014	Date of Injury:	08/24/2012
Decision Date:	12/19/2014	UR Denial Date:	06/19/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/08/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesia has a subspecialty in Acupuncture & Pain Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The 58 year old female injured worker with date of injury 8/24/12 with related neck and back pain. Per progress report dated 5/21/14, the injured worker had had two lumbar epidural steroid injections which had improved her lumbar spine pain, although now her major complaint was across her back and into her neck. Per physical exam, the injured worker had better range of motion of the lumbar spine, but the neck was starting to have more spasms and caused more difficulty for her, specifically with trapezius muscle spasm. She had decreased range of motion of her neck. MRI of the cervical spine showed bulging disc and compression at C5-C6 and C7-T1 with nerve root compression. Treatment to date has included lumbar Epidural Steroid Injections, physical therapy, and medication management. The date of UR decision was 6/19/14.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Cervical Spine Epidural Steroid Injection C6-C7 and C7-T1 x 2: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for the use of Epidural Steroid Injections Page(s): 46.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural Steroid Injections Page(s): 46.

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS CPMTG Epidural Steroid Injections (ESI) are used to reduce pain and inflammation, restoring range of motion and thereby facilitating progress in more active treatment programs and avoiding surgery, but this treatment alone offers no significant long-term benefit. The criteria for the use of Epidural Steroid Injections are as follows: 1) Radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing.2) Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants).3) Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy (live x-ray) for guidance.4) If used for diagnostic purposes, a maximum of two injections should be performed. A second block is not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block. Diagnostic blocks should be at an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections.5) No more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks.6) No more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session.7) In the therapeutic phase, repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year. (Manchikanti, 2003) (CMS, 2004) (Boswell, 2007)8) Current research does not support a "series-of-three" injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase. We recommend no more than 2 ESI injections. The documentation submitted for review does not contain physical exam findings of radiculopathy or clinical evidence of radiculopathy. Above mentioned citation conveys radiculopathy must be documented by physical examination and corroborated by imaging studies and/or electrodiagnostic testing. Radiculopathy is defined as two of the following: weakness, sensation deficit, or diminished/absent reflexes associated with the relevant dermatome. These findings are not documented, so medical necessity is not affirmed. Since the first criteria are not met, the request for Cervical Spine Epidural Steroid Injection is not medically necessary.