

Case Number:	CM14-0103611		
Date Assigned:	07/30/2014	Date of Injury:	11/14/2006
Decision Date:	09/23/2014	UR Denial Date:	06/04/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/03/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 55-year-old female with an 11/14/06 date of injury. At the time (5/28/14) of request for authorization for Outpatient continued Occupational Therapy two (2) times a week times three (3) weeks to the upper extremities, there is documentation of subjective (improved left wrist/forearm pain and bilateral elbow pain) and objective (positive Tinel's sign at the cubital tunnel bilaterally) findings. Current diagnoses include bilateral cubital tunnel syndrome and left de Quervain's tenosynovitis. Treatment to date includes at least 14 occupational therapy sessions with decreased pain and increased grip strength and lateral pinch. There is no documentation of remaining functional deficits that would be considered exceptional factors to justify exceeding guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Outpatient continued Occupational Therapy two (2) times a week times three (3) weeks to the upper extremities: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines PHYSICAL MEDICINE Page(s): 98.

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support a brief course of physical medicine for patients with chronic pain not to exceed 10 visits over 4-8 weeks with allowance for fading of treatment frequency, with transition to an active self-directed program of independent home physical medicine/therapeutic exercise. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) recommends a limited course of physical therapy for patients with a diagnosis of radial styloid tenosynovitis not to exceed 12 visits over 8 weeks. ODG also notes patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy) and when treatment requests exceeds guideline recommendations, the physician must provide a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of bilateral cubital tunnel syndrome and left de Quervain's tenosynovitis. In addition, there is documentation of previous occupational therapy. Furthermore, given documentation of decreased pain and increased grip strength and lateral pinch with occupational therapy, there is documentation of functional benefit or improvement as an increase in activity tolerance as a result of occupational therapy provided to date. However, given documentation of at least 14 occupational therapy sessions completed to date, which exceeds guidelines, there is no documentation of remaining functional deficits that would be considered exceptional factors to justify exceeding guidelines. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for Outpatient continued Occupational Therapy two (2) times a week times three (3) weeks to the upper extremities is not medically necessary.