

Case Number:	CM14-0100738		
Date Assigned:	07/30/2014	Date of Injury:	03/27/2011
Decision Date:	12/23/2014	UR Denial Date:	06/10/2014
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	06/30/2014

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesiology, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

According to the records made available for review, this is a 63-year-old male with a 3/27/11 date of injury. At the time (6/5/14) of the request for authorization for additional physical therapy times 6 sessions for the cervical spine, there is documentation of subjective (significant functional deficits in his left shoulder girdle) and objective (left scapular area atrophy and shoulder weakness noted) findings, current diagnoses (brachial neuritis or radiculitis NOS, cervical radiculitis, and radicular syndrome), and treatment to date (24 physical therapy visits). There is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications as a result of previous physical therapy treatments; and a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Additional physical therapy times 6 sessions for the cervical spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical medicine Page(s): 98. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines ODG Shoulder, Physical Therapy (PT)

Decision rationale: MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support a brief course of physical medicine for patients with chronic pain not to exceed 10 visits over 4-8 weeks with allowance for fading of treatment frequency, with transition to an active self-directed program of independent home physical medicine/therapeutic exercise. MTUS-Definitions identifies that any treatment intervention should not be continued in the absence of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications or medical services. ODG recommends a limited course of physical therapy for patients with a diagnosis of brachial plexus lesions not to exceed 14 visits over 6 weeks. ODG also notes patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy) and when treatment requests exceeds guideline recommendations, the physician must provide a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Within the medical information available for review, there is documentation of diagnoses of brachial neuritis or radiculitis NOS, cervical radiculitis, and radicular syndrome. In addition, there is documentation of treatment with 24 physical therapy sessions, which exceeds guidelines. In addition, there is no documentation of functional benefit or improvement as a reduction in work restrictions; an increase in activity tolerance; and/or a reduction in the use of medications as a result of previous physical therapy treatments. Furthermore, there is no documentation of a statement of exceptional factors to justify going outside of guideline parameters. Therefore, based on guidelines and a review of the evidence, the request for additional physical therapy times 6 sessions for the cervical spine is not medically necessary.