

Case Number:	CM13-0064381		
Date Assigned:	01/03/2014	Date of Injury:	07/22/2008
Decision Date:	05/28/2014	UR Denial Date:	11/29/2013
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	12/11/2013

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in Hawaii. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 43 year old female employee who has filed a claim for a bucket tear of the medial meniscus associated with an industrial injury date of July 22, 2008. Medical records from 2012 through 2013 were reviewed showing the patient complaining of right knee pain graded at 7/10. Medications are noted to be effective for pain. On examination, the patient is noted to be obese and ambulates with a seat walker. There is tenderness noted on in the right knee. Treatment to date has included knee injections, knee brace, and medications (ambien, cymbalta, vicodin). Utilization review dated November 29, 2013 noncertified the request for Ambien due to long term use.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

AMBIEN 10MG #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG, Pain Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain Chapter, Zolpidem, Insomnia Treatment.

Decision rationale: The CA MTUS does not address this topic. Per the Strength of Evidence hierarchy established by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Workers' Compensation, the Official Disability Guidelines, (ODG), Pain Chapter, Zolpidem was used instead. ODG states that Zolpidem is a prescription short acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for short-term treatment of insomnia. In this case, the patient has been taking this medication as early as July 2013. There has been no discussion of the patient's sleep hygiene or the need for variance from the guidelines, such as "a) Wake at the same time everyday; (b) Maintain a consistent bedtime; (c) Exercise regularly (not within 2 to 4 hours of bedtime); (d) Perform relaxing activities before bedtime; (e) Keep your bedroom quiet and cool; (f) Do not watch the clock; (g) Avoid caffeine and nicotine for at least six hours before bed; (h) Only drink in moderation; & (i) Avoid napping." Medical documents also do not include results of these first line treatments, if they were used in treatment of the patient's insomnia. ODG additionally states "The specific component of insomnia should be addressed: (a) Sleep onset; (b) Sleep maintenance; (c) Sleep quality; & (d) Next-day functioning." Medical documents provided do not detail these components. As such, the request for Ambien is not medically necessary and appropriate at this time.