

Case Number:	CM13-0042064		
Date Assigned:	12/27/2013	Date of Injury:	10/17/2006
Decision Date:	04/24/2014	UR Denial Date:	10/09/2013
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/16/2013

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a patient with a date of injury October 17, 2006. A progress report dated September 12, 2013 identifies subjective complaints indicating that the patient has undergone 2 different shoulder surgeries for the right shoulder as well as a functional restoration program with no significant improvement. The note indicates that the patient is highly dependent on oxycodone to assist with pain and function. The patient is currently not working. Physical examination identifies decreased range of motion in the right shoulder with tenderness over the AC joint, subacromial space, deltoid, and periscapular muscles. A specific diagnosis is not listed. The treatment plan recommends refilling oxycodone, and performing a urine toxicity screen. A progress report dated August 15, 2013 indicates that pain patches were very helpful.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MEDROX PATCHES PROVIDED ON 7/18/2013: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

Decision rationale: Medrox is a combination of methyl salicylate, menthol, and capsaicin. Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. Regarding the use of capsaicin, guidelines state that it is recommended only as an option for patients who have not responded to, or are intolerant to other treatments. There is no indication that the patient has been intolerant to, or not responded to other treatments prior to the initiation of capsaicin therapy. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested Medrox is not medically necessary.

TEROCIN 240 ML DISPENSED ON 7/18/2013: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

Decision rationale: Terocin is a combination of methyl salicylate, menthol, lidocaine and capsaicin. Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. Regarding use of capsaicin, guidelines state that it is recommended only as an option for patients who did not respond to or are intolerant to other treatments. Regarding the use of topical lidocaine, guidelines state that it is recommended for localized peripheral pain after there is evidence of a trial of first-line therapy. Additionally, there is no documentation of localized peripheral pain with evidence of failure of first-line therapy as recommended by guidelines prior to the initiation of topical lidocaine. Finally, there is no indication that the patient has been intolerant to or did not respond to other treatments prior to the initiation of capsaicin therapy. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested Terocin is not medically necessary.

TEROCIN PATCHES #60 DISPENSED ON 8/15/2013: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

Decision rationale: Terocin is a combination of methyl salicylate, menthol, lidocaine and capsaicin. Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that any compounded product that contains at least one drug or drug class that is not recommended is not recommended. Regarding use of capsaicin, guidelines state that it is recommended only as an option for patients who did not respond to or are intolerant to other treatments. Regarding the use of topical lidocaine, guidelines state that it is recommended for localized peripheral pain after there is evidence of a trial of first-line therapy. Additionally, there is no documentation of localized peripheral pain with evidence of failure of first-line therapy as recommended by guidelines prior to the initiation of topical lidocaine. Finally, there is no indication that the patient has been intolerant to or did

not respond to other treatments prior to the initiation of capsaicin therapy. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested Terocin is not medically necessary.