

Case Number:	CM13-0032259		
Date Assigned:	01/03/2014	Date of Injury:	01/04/2011
Decision Date:	05/12/2014	UR Denial Date:	09/25/2013
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/07/2013

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, has a subspecialty in Pain Management and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records: This is a patient with a date of injury of 1/4/11. A utilization review determination dated 9/25/13 recommends non-certification of PT. It references multiple medical reports from 6/20/13 to 9/20/13 with no significant ROM or strength improvement from therapy. ROM is 0 to 110 degrees with passive flexion to 120 degrees.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

PHYSICAL THERAPY, 2X6 FOR BILATERAL KNEES: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manual Therapy And Manipulation..

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99.

Decision rationale: California MTUS cites that "patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels." Within the documentation available for review, there is documentation of completion of prior PT sessions, but there is no documentation of specific objective functional improvement with the previous sessions or why any remaining deficits cannot be addressed

within the context of an independent home exercise program, yet are expected to improve with formal supervised therapy. Furthermore, the California MTUS supports only up to 10 PT sessions for this injury and, unfortunately, there is no provision for modification of the current request. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Physical Therapy, 2x6 For Bilateral Knees is not medically necessary.