

Case Number:	CM13-0009881		
Date Assigned:	06/13/2014	Date of Injury:	07/16/2008
Decision Date:	07/31/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/18/2013
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/12/2013

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is Board Certified in Anesthesia, has a subspecialty in Acupuncture & Pain Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The patient is a 53 year old female injured worker with date of injury 7/16/08 with related neck pain. Per progress report dated 6/11/13, she rated her pain as 7/10 in intensity. Per physical exam, tenderness was noted over bilateral facet joints at C3, C4, C5, C6 which was worse on the right side. Tenderness was noted at the paracervical muscles and trapezius. Spurling's maneuver produced no pain in the neck musculature or radicular symptoms in the arms. MRI of the cervical spine dated 3/2/09 revealed mild annular bulging of the discs at C3-C4 through C5-C6 without significant protrusion, central canal or neural foraminal narrowing. EMG dated 2/2011 revealed mild right carpal tunnel syndrome. Treatment to date has included surgery, TENS, injections, physical therapy, and medication management. The date of UR decision was 6/21/13.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Nexium 40mg, #30 with 5 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI Symptoms & Cardiovascular Risk Page(s): 68. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Proton Pump Inhibitors.

Decision rationale: In the treatment of dyspepsia secondary to NSAID therapy, the MTUS recommends stopping the NSAID, switching to a different NSAID, or considering the use of an H2-receptor antagonist or a PPI. The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines recommend the use of proton pump inhibitors in conjunction with NSAIDs in situations in which the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events including: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). CPMTG guidelines further specify: "Recommendations: Patients with no risk factor and no cardiovascular disease: Non-selective NSAIDs OK (e.g, ibuprofen, naproxen, etc.) Patients at intermediate risk for gastrointestinal events and no cardiovascular disease: (1) A non-selective NSAID with either a PPI (Proton Pump Inhibitor, for example, 20 mg omeprazole daily) or misoprostol (200 g four times daily) or (2) a Cox-2 selective agent. Long-term PPI use (> 1 year) has been shown to increase the risk of hip fracture (adjusted odds ratio 1.44). Patients at high risk for gastrointestinal events with no cardiovascular disease: A Cox-2 selective agent plus a PPI if absolutely necessary. Patients at high risk of gastrointestinal events with cardiovascular disease: If GI risk is high the suggestion is for a low-dose Cox-2 plus low dose Aspirin (for cardioprotection) and a PPI. If cardiovascular risk is greater than GI risk the suggestion is naproxyn plus low-dose aspirin plus a PPI. (Laine, 2006) (Scholmerich, 2006) (Nielsen, 2006) (Chan, 2004) (Gold, 2007) (Laine, 2007)" Per ODG TWC, "many prescribers believe that this class of drugs is innocuous, but much information is available to demonstrate otherwise. A trial of omeprazole or lansoprazole is recommended before Nexium therapy. The other PPIs, Protonix, Dexilant, and Aciphex, should also be second-line." As there is no documentation of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation, or cardiovascular disease in the records available for my review, the injured worker's risk for gastrointestinal events is low. The medical records do not establish whether the patient has failed attempts at first line PPIs, such as omeprazole or lansoprazole, which should be considered prior to prescribing a second line PPI such as Nexium as such, medical necessity cannot be affirmed.