

Case Number:	CM13-0005771		
Date Assigned:	12/11/2013	Date of Injury:	01/03/2011
Decision Date:	12/03/2014	UR Denial Date:	07/08/2013
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/01/2013

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer is licensed in Chiropractic and Acupuncture Services and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old male who reported neck pain from injury sustained on 01/03/2011. Patient felt pain in his neck after he coughed. Patient is diagnosed with status post posterior revision laminar foraminotomy left C4-5; extensive neurolysis/decompression left C5 nerve root, resection of bony hyperostosis; cervical spine sprain/strain; cervical spine stenosis. EMG/NCV revealed left C6 nerve root irritation and radiculopathy. Patient has been treated with medication, surgery, physical therapy and aquatic therapy. Per medical notes dated 09/16/13, patient complains of numbness and tingling in the neck and bilateral shoulders, present all the time. The pain is worst in bilateral upper arms, left greater than right. Per medical notes dated 10/01/13, patient complains of neck pain. Patient has had acupuncture treatments; provider is requesting additional acupuncture sessions. Medical reports reveal little evidence of significant changes or improvement in findings, revealing a patient who has not achieved significant objective functional improvement to warrant additional treatment.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

ACUPUNCTURE: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: Per MTUS- Section 9792.24.1 Acupuncture Medical treatment Guidelines page 8-9. "Acupuncture is used as an option when pain medication is reduced and not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery". "Time to produce function improvement: 3-6 treatments. 2) Frequency: 1-3 times per week. 3) Optimum duration: 1-2 months. Acupuncture treatments may be extended if functional improvement is documented". Patient has had prior acupuncture treatment. There is no assessment in the provided medical records of functional efficacy with prior acupuncture visits. Medical reports reveal little evidence of significant changes or improvement in findings, revealing a patient who has not achieved significant objective functional improvement to warrant additional treatment. Additional visits may be rendered if the patient has documented objective functional improvement. Per MTUS guidelines, Functional improvement means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam or decrease in medication intake. Per review of evidence and guidelines, additional acupuncture treatments are not medically necessary.