

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Medical Review

P.O. Box 138009

Sacramento, CA 95813-8009

(855) 865-8873 Fax: (916) 605-4270



Notice of Independent Medical Review Determination

Dated: 11/25/2013

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Employee:	[REDACTED]
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]
Date of UR Decision:	7/11/2013
Date of Injury:	3/28/2007
IMR Application Received:	7/31/2013
MAXIMUS Case Number:	CM13-0004723

- 1) MAXIMUS Federal Services, Inc. has determined the request for gym membership - six sessions **is not medically necessary and appropriate.**

INDEPENDENT MEDICAL REVIEW DECISION AND RATIONALE

An application for Independent Medical Review was filed on 7/31/2013 disputing the Utilization Review Denial dated 7/11/2013. A Notice of Assignment and Request for Information was provided to the above parties on 8/12/2013. A decision has been made for each of the treatment and/or services that were in dispute:

- 1) MAXIMUS Federal Services, Inc. has determined the request for gym membership - six sessions **is not medically necessary and appropriate.**

Medical Qualifications of the Expert Reviewer:

The independent Medical Doctor who made the decision has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The physician reviewer is Board Certified in Occupational Medicine and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The Expert Reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and treatments and/or services at issue.

Expert Reviewer Case Summary:

Patient is a 44 year old male who has a history of chronic low back pain due to a cumulative trauma injury as a forklift driver. The pain radiates to both groins, and on the right posteriorly down to the foot. There is palpable tenderness of mid-lower lumbar spine, decreased rotation bilaterally, positive SLR on right. The patient has a history of HBP, treated with medications. The patient is overweight at over 250 pounds, 5'8"

Documents Reviewed for Determination:

The following relevant documents received from the interested parties and the documents provided with the application were reviewed and considered. These documents included:

- Application of Independent Medical Review
- Utilization Review Determination
- Medical Records from Provider
- Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS)

1) Regarding the request for gym membership - six sessions:

Section of the Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule Relied Upon by the Expert Reviewer to Make His/Her Decision

The Claims Administrator did not cite a guideline in its utilization review determination letter.

The Expert Reviewer found that no section of the MTUS was applicable. Per the Strength of Evidence hierarchy established by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Workers' Compensation, the Expert Reviewer based his/her decision on the Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

Rationale for the Decision:

The provider has requested a gym membership but has not given any specific exercise program that includes regular monitoring and/or supervision. These guidelines do not recommend gym memberships based on the fact that there is no medical supervision and there is no specified exercise routine. The provider did not give a plan of treatment including progress monitoring. Without this information and according to standard guidelines, this treatment is not medically necessary. **The request for gym membership - six sessions is not medically necessary and appropriate.**

Effect of the Decision:

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its physician reviewer is deemed to be the final determination of the Administrative Director, Division of Workers' Compensation. With respect to the medical necessity of the treatment in dispute, this determination is binding on all parties.

In accordance with California Labor Code Section 4610.6(h), a determination of the administrative director may be reviewed only if a verified appeal is filed with the appeals board for hearing and served on all interested parties within 30 days of the date of mailing of the determination to the employee or the employer. The determination of the administrative director shall be presumed to be correct and shall be set aside only upon proof by clear and convincing evidence of one or more of the grounds for appeal listed in Labor Code Section 4610.6(h)(1) through (5).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH,
Medical Director

cc: Department of Industrial Relations
Division of Workers' Compensation
1515 Clay Street, 18th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612

/ldh

Disclaimer: MAXIMUS is providing an independent review service under contract with the California Department of Industrial Relations. MAXIMUS is not engaged in the practice of law or medicine. Decisions about the use or nonuse of health care services and treatments are the sole responsibility of the patient and the patient's physician. MAXIMUS is not liable for any consequences arising from these decisions.