

**MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.**

Independent Medical Review

P.O. Box 138009

Sacramento, CA 95813-8009

(855) 865-8873 Fax: (916) 605-4270



---

**Notice of Independent Medical Review Determination**

Dated: **12/12/2013**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Employee:	[REDACTED]
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]
Date of UR Decision:	7/11/2013
Date of Injury:	11/9/2006
IMR Application Received:	7/22/2013
MAXIMUS Case Number:	CM13-0002250

- 1) MAXIMUS Federal Services, Inc. has determined the request for **18 physical therapy sessions for the lumbar spine is not medically necessary and appropriate.**

## INDEPENDENT MEDICAL REVIEW DECISION AND RATIONALE

An application for Independent Medical Review was filed on 7/22/2013 disputing the Utilization Review Denial dated 7/11/2013. A Notice of Assignment and Request for Information was provided to the above parties on 7/24/2013. A decision has been made for each of the treatment and/or services that were in dispute:

- 1) MAXIMUS Federal Services, Inc. has determined the request for **18 physical therapy sessions for the lumbar spine** is not **medically necessary and appropriate**.

### **Medical Qualifications of the Expert Reviewer:**

The independent Medical Doctor who made the decision has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The physician reviewer is Board Certified in Internal Medicine, and is licensed to practice in California. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The Expert Reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and treatments and/or services at issue.

### **Clinical Summary:**

Disclaimer: The following case summary was taken directly from the utilization review denial/modification dated 7/11/2013.

“The patient is a 42 year old male with a date of injury of 11/9/2006. The provider is requesting prospective certification of 18 session of physical therapy for the lumbar spine.”

### **Documents Reviewed for Determination:**

The following relevant documents received from the interested parties and the documents provided with the application were reviewed and considered. These documents included:

- Application of Independent Medical Review
- Utilization Review Determination
- Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule (MTUS)
- Medical Records from:
  - Claims Administrator
  - Employee/Employee Representative
  - Provider

**1) Regarding the request for 18 physical therapy sessions for the lumbar spine:**

Section of the Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule Relied Upon by the Expert Reviewer to Make His/Her Decision

The Claims Administrator based its decision on the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, which is part of the MTUS.

The Expert Reviewer found that no section of the MTUS was applicable. Per the Strength of Evidence hierarchy established by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Workers' Compensation, the Expert Reviewer based his/her decision on the Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Physical Therapy Guidelines.

Rationale for the Decision:

ODG Physical Therapy Guidelines - allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 or more visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home PT. For patients with Sciatica; Thoracic/lumbosacral neuritis/radiculitis, unspecified (ICD9 724.3; 724.4): 10-12 visits over 8 weeks are considered medically appropriate/medically necessary. The treating physician requested 18 physical therapy visits which are above and beyond ODG physical therapy recommendations. **The request for 18 physical therapy sessions for the lumbar spine is not medically necessary and appropriate.**

**Effect of the Decision:**

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its physician reviewer is deemed to be the final determination of the Administrative Director, Division of Workers' Compensation. With respect to the medical necessity of the treatment in dispute, this determination is binding on all parties.

In accordance with California Labor Code Section 4610.6(h), a determination of the administrative director may be reviewed only if a verified appeal is filed with the appeals board for hearing and served on all interested parties within 30 days of the date of mailing of the determination to the employee or the employer. The determination of the administrative director shall be presumed to be correct and shall be set aside only upon proof by clear and convincing evidence of one or more of the grounds for appeal listed in Labor Code Section 4610.6(h)(1) through (5).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH  
Medical Director

cc: Department of Industrial Relations  
Division of Workers' Compensation  
1515 Clay Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Oakland, CA 94612

/ldh

Disclaimer: MAXIMUS is providing an independent review service under contract with the California Department of Industrial Relations. MAXIMUS is not engaged in the practice of law or medicine. Decisions about the use or nonuse of health care services and treatments are the sole responsibility of the patient and the patient's physician. MAXIMUS is not liable for any consequences arising from these decisions.