
INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

May 6, 2016

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB16-0000600	Date of Injury:	08/02/2015
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	04/13/2016
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]		
Date(s) of service:	08/02/2015 – 08/03/2015		
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	DRG 185		

[REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above Workers’ Compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, M.D., M.P.H.
Medical Director

Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- OMFS

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking additional remuneration for Inpatient claim billed as DRG 185 on dates of service 08/02/2015 – 08/03/2015.**
- Provider billed DRG 185, MAJOR CHEST TRAUMA W/O CC/MCC, on a UB04 with bill type 111, hospital inpatient. DRG 185 = 2.9 average LOS.
- Claims Administrator cross-walked services and reimbursed pursuant OPSS with explanation “the OP report is reviewed and there is no information contained that explains or justifies the 1-day inpatient stay. Therefore, the inpatient stay is recommended for denial. Reimbursement is based on Outpatient Fee Schedule”
- Medical record submitted documents injured worker was brought into the emergency department after a fall at the workplace. CT scan showed fractures of the right 9, 10 and 11 rib. Physician states patient had “significant pain related to his rib fractures. Therefore, he needs admission for pain control. He will be started on narcotics and Toradol. If this is not adequate, he may need a PCA or other measures. He will be given incentive spirometer and will monitor for adequate pulmonary toilet.” Physician also notes in Past Medical History “Significant for seizures and hemorrhoids”
- **Administrative Rules § 9792.6.** Utilization Review Standards – Definition (a) “Authorization” means assurance that appropriate reimbursement will be made for an approved specific course of proposed medical treatment to cure or relieve the effects of the industrial injury pursuant to section 4600 of the Labor Code, subject to the provisions of section 5402 of the Labor Code, based on either a completed “Request for Authorization,” DWC Form RFA, as contained in California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 9785.5, or a request for authorization of medical treatment accepted as complete by the claims administrator under section 9792.9.1(c)(2), that has been transmitted by the treating

physician to the claims administrator. Authorization shall be given pursuant to the timeframe, procedure, and notice requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 9792.9.1, and may be provided by utilizing the indicated response section of the “Request for Authorization,” DWC Form RFA if that form was initially submitted by the treating physician.

- Authorization from the Claims Administrator approving the specific course of treatment relating to inpatient service was not submitted for IBR.
- Authorization for inpatient services is required for reimbursement; IBR unable to determine medical necessity.
- **Administrative Rules Article 5.5.0. § 9792.5.7.** Requesting Independent Bill Review (b) unless as permitted by section 9792.5.12, independent bill review shall only be conducted if the only dispute between the provider and the claims administrator **is the amount of payment owed to the provider.** Any other issue, including issues of contested liability or the applicability of a contract for reimbursement rates under Labor Code section 5307.11 shall be resolved before seeking independent bill review
- **Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, additional reimbursement for DRG 185 is not warranted.**

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: DRG 185

Date of Service: 06/24/2015						
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Units	Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
DRG 185	\$52,380.12	\$953.62	\$7,148.04	1	\$0.00	Refer to Analysis

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]