

**MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.**

Independent Bill Review  
P.O. Box 138006  
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006  
Fax: (916) 605-4280



---

**INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION**

April 29, 2016

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB16-0000549	Date of Injury:	01/08/2009
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	04/05/2016
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]		
Date(s) of service:	11/19/2015		
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	99205		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above Workers’ Compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

**Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.**

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[REDACTED], M.D., M.P.H.  
Medical Director

Cc: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

## DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- CMS '95/97 Evaluation and Management Guidelines
- OMFS

## HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

## ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking remuneration for 99205 New Patient Evaluation and Management Service submitted for date of service 11/19/2015.**
- The Claims Administrator's re-coded 99205 to 99203 indicating "billed services does not meet the requirements of a consultation."
- EOR's reflect 90% OMFS.
- **Administrative Rules § 9789.12.12** Consultation Services Coding – use of visit codes (a) Maximum fees for physicians and qualified non-physician practitioners performing consultation services shall be determined utilizing the appropriate RVU for a patient evaluation and management visit and the RVU(s) for prolonged service codes if warranted under CPT guidelines. Physicians and qualified non-physician practitioners shall code consultation visits as patient evaluation and management visits utilizing the CPT Evaluation and Management codes that represent where the visit occurs and that identify the complexity of the visit performed. **CPT consultation codes shall not be utilized.** (Emphasis added)
- Documentation indicates Injured Worker referred to Provider for consultation and treatment.
- The determination of an Evaluation and Management service for New Patients require **All three key components** in the following areas (AMA CPT 1995/1997):
  - 1) **History:** Chief Complaint, History of Present Illness, Review of Systems (Inventory of Body Systems), Past Family and Social History.
  - 2) **Examination:** "The 1995 documentation guidelines state that the medical record for a general multi-system examination should include findings about eight or more organ systems."

- 3) **Medical Decision Making Medical** decision making refers to the complexity of establishing a diagnosis and/or selecting a management option, which is determined by considering the following factors:
- a. The number of possible diagnoses and/or the number of management options that must be considered;
  - b. The amount and/or complexity of medical records, diagnostic tests, and/or other information that must be obtained, reviewed, and analyzed; and
  - c. The risk of significant complications, morbidity, and/or mortality as well as comorbidities associated with the patient's presenting problem(s), the diagnostic procedure(s), and/or the possible management options.
- To determine the level of service in a given **component** of an E&M, the **data** must “**meet or exceed**” the elements required.
  - 1995/1997 Evaluation and Management Levels/Elements (History / Exam / Medical Decision Making), Established Patient:
    - 99202: Exp. Problem Focused / Problem Focused / Straight Forward
    - 99203: Detailed / **Detailed Exam** / Low Complexity
    - 99204: Comprehensive / **\*Comprehensive Exam** / Moderate Complexity
    - 99205 Comprehensive / **\*Comprehensive Exam**/ High Complexity

**\*Comprehensive Exam = general multi-system exam or complete exam of single organ system**
  - **Time:** In the case where counseling and/or coordination of care dominates (more than 50%) of the physician/patient and/or family encounter (face-to-face time in the office or other outpatient setting or floor/unit time in the hospital or nursing facility), time is considered the key or controlling factor to qualify for a particular level of E/M services. The total length of time of the encounter (faced-to-face) should be documented and the record should describe the counseling and/or activities to coordinate care.
  - **Abstracted information for date of service 11/19/2015** revealed the following service:
    - **History: Comprehensive**
      - HPI: Extensive
      - ROS: Complete
      - Other History: Complete
      - Extensive / Complete / Complete = Comprehensive History
    - **Exam: Detailed**
      - **Detailed** Ortho/Musculoskeletal Examination  
Extended of affected area / organ system + related / symptomatic areas.
      - Brief assessment of mental status including Orientation to time, place and person Mood and affect (eg, depression, anxiety, agitation) not

documented in Exam (**Separate from History and is required for 99204 and 99205 comprehensive level of service**).

- **Medical Decision Making: High Complexity**
  - Presenting Problems/Diagnosis = Multiple
  - Complexity of data: Extensive
  - Risk: High (Surgery & Pain Med. Mgmt.)
  - Multiple / Extensive / High = **High Complexity** Medical Decision Making
  
- New Patient E & M must **meet all three key components**:
  - **Comprehensive / Detailed / High Complexity** = 99203

**Time Factor for date of service:**

- **Not Documented**
- **Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, reimbursement for 99205 is not indicated.**

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: 99205-25**

<b>Date of Service:</b> 11/19/2015						
Physician Services						
<b>Service Code</b>	<b>Provider Billed</b>	<b>Plan Allowed</b>	<b>Dispute Amount</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.</b>	<b>Notes</b>
99205	\$303.28	\$120.66	\$169.88	1	\$120.66	<b>Refer to Analysis</b>

[REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]