

INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

April 15, 2016

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB16-0000454	Date of Injury:	01/23/2008
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	03/18/2016
Assignment Date:	April 6, 2016		
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]		
Date(s) of service:	09/02/2015 – 09/28/2015		
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	S9472		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above Workers’ Compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$1,994.89 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$2,189.89. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$2,189.89 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f)

.Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, M.D., M.P.H.
Medical Director

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Partial Contract
- OMFS

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking remuneration for S9472 Cardiac rehabilitation program, non-physician provider, per diem submitted for dates of service 09/02/2015 – 09/28/2015.**
- **Initial EOR indicates reimbursement based on Usual and Customary Fee for area.**
- S9472 does not have a value under the OMFS and is considered a “By Report” code.
- Partial Contractual agreement indicates the following: “If total billed charges exceed \$5,000, the Reimbursement Amount for those outpatient services shall be at 28% discount off usual billed charges.”
- Opportunity to Dispute Eligibility communicated with Claims Administrator on 03/21/2016: response not yet received.
- **Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, additional reimbursement is indicated for submitted HCPCS S9472.**

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: S9472

Date of Service: 09/02/2015 – 09/28/2015.						
HOPPS						
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Units	Workers’ Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
S9472	\$8,120.00	\$3,851.51	\$1,994.89	1	\$5,846.40	\$1,994.89 Due Provider Refer to Analysis

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]