

**MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.**

Independent Bill Review  
P.O. Box 138006  
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006  
Fax: (916) 605-4280



---

**INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION**

July 23, 2015

██████████  
██████████  
██████████

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0001055	Date of Injury:	06/20/2013
Claim Number:	██████████	Application Received:	06/29/2015
Claims Administrator:	██████████		
Date(s) of service:	03/23/25015 – 03/27/2015		
Provider Name:	██████████		
Employee Name:	██████████		
Disputed Codes:	97799-86		

Dear ██████████

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

**Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$562.50 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$757.50. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.**

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of **\$757.50** within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, M.D., M.P.H.  
Medical Director

cc: ██████████  
██

## DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Partial Contractual Agreement

## HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

## ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking full remuneration for Functional Restoration Evaluation, billed as Unlisted Procedure Code 97799-86, for date of service 03/09/2015-03/13/2015.**
- The Claims Administrator based “network” and “supplemental payment recommendation.”
- Modifier -86: OMFS “This Modifier is used when prior authorization was received for services that exceed OMFS ground rules.”
- OMFS allows for Unlisted Procedure Codes to be reimbursed by “By Report.”
- §9789.12.4 (c) “In determining the value of a By Report procedure, consideration may be given to the value assigned to a **comparable** procedure or analogous code. The comparable procedure or analogous code should reflect similar amount of resources, such as practice expense, time, complexity, expertise, etc. as required for the procedure performed.”
- There is no allowance listed under the OMFS for the billed procedure code 97799 or, more specifically, a Functional Restoration Program; a CPT Code has yet to be formulated for the comprehensive Functional Restoration Programs.
- Authorization signed by Claims Administrator, dated 02/03/2015

- Functional Restoration Program service is authorized; meeting the criteria for Modifier - 86.
- **California State Assembly Bill 1177 amended the Labor Code effective January 1, 2002 to add §5307.11:** 5307.11. A health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code, and a contracting agent, employer, or carrier may contract for reimbursement rates different from those in the fee schedule adopted and revised pursuant to Section 5307.1. When a health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code, and a contracting agent, employer, or carrier contract for reimbursement rates different from those in the fee schedule, the medical fee schedule for that health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code **shall not apply to the contracted reimbursement rates.** Except as provided in subdivision (b) of Section 5307.1, the official medical fee schedule shall establish maximum reimbursement rates for all medical services for injuries subject to this division provided by a health care provider or health care facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code other than those specified in contracts subject to this section.
- Partial one page Contractual Agreement provided for IBR. The partial contract indicates “95%... **using procedure numbers, unit values, and conversion factors.**”
- CPT 97799 is a By Report Code without a comparable procedure, **without** a ‘unit value’ or conversation factor.
- The full contractual agreement indicating a value for “unlisted” procedure codes **was not** presented for this IBR Case.
- Opportunity to Dispute Eligibility sent to Claims Administrator on 06/30/2015; response not yet receive.
- The Partial Contractual Agreement indicates “lessor of 100%...” As such, the contractual reimbursement rate, defaults to the ‘95%’ lesser reimbursement as per **LC §5307.11 – “the medical fee schedule shall not apply to the contracted reimbursement rates.”**
- Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, additional reimbursement is indicated for Unlisted Procedure Code 97799-86.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, additional reimbursement is warranted for 97799-86**

<b>Date of Service: 03/23/25015 – 03/27/2015</b>							
<b>Physician Services</b>							
<b>Service Code</b>	<b>Provider Billed</b>	<b>Plan Allowed</b>	<b>Dispute Amount</b>	<b>Assist Surgeon</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.</b>	<b>Notes</b>
97799 - 86	\$5625.00	\$4,781.25	\$562.50	N/A	1	\$5,163.75	PPO Contract \$562.50 Due Provider

Copy to:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]