

INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

July 13, 2015

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0000704	Date of Injury:	8/17/2006
Claim Number:	[Redacted]	Application Received:	5/6/2015
Assignment Date:	05/28/2015		
Claims Administrator:	[Redacted]		
Date(s) of service:	12/16/2014		
Provider Name:	[Redacted]		
Employee Name:	[Redacted]		
Disputed Codes:	99215-25 and 62370		

Dear [Redacted]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$162.69 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$357.69. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of **\$357.69** within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, M.D., M.P.H.
Medical Director

cc: [Redacted]
[Redacted]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- OMFS

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking \$203.90 in remuneration for 99215-25 Evaluation and Management Service and 62370 electronic analysis of programmable, implanted pump for intrathecal or epidural drug infusion for date of service 12/16/2014.**
- Retroactive Referral authorized by Claims Administrator on 01/16/2015 for date of service 12/16/2014.
- **Pursuant to LC § 5307.11:** A health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code, and a contracting agent, employer, or carrier may contract for reimbursement rates different from those in the fee schedule adopted and revised pursuant to Section 5307.1. When a health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code, and a contracting agent, employer, or carrier contract for reimbursement rates **different from those in the fee schedule**, the medical fee schedule for that health care provider or health facility licensed pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code **shall not apply to the contracted reimbursement rates**.
- The aforementioned 10/09/2014 documentation is contractual in nature. As such, the contractual rates apply pursuant to LC § 5307.11.
- Partial Contractual Agreement received for IBR, as such the OMFS will be utilized to determine reimbursement for the authorized service(s).
- Documentation indicates the Injured Worker was prescribed Medication in addition to pain pump management and refill.

- CMS 1500 reflects Place of Service 24; Modifier -25 appended to Evaluation and Management Service.
- The determination of an Evaluation and Management service for Established Patients require **two** of **three** key components in the following areas:
 - 1) **History:** Chief Complaint, History of Present Illness, Review of Systems (Inventory of Body Systems), Past Family and Social History.
 - 2) **Examination:** “The 1995 documentation guidelines state that the medical record for a general multi-system examination should include findings about eight or more organ systems.”
 - 3) **Medical Decision Making** Medical decision making refers to the complexity of establishing a diagnosis and/or selecting a management option, which is determined by considering the following factors:
 - a. The number of possible diagnoses and/or the number of management options that must be considered;
 - b. The amount and/or complexity of medical records, diagnostic tests, and/or other information that must be obtained, reviewed, and analyzed; and
 - c. The risk of significant complications, morbidity, and/or mortality as well as comorbidities associated with the patient’s presenting problem(s), the diagnostic procedure(s), and/or the possible management options.
- 1995/1997 Evaluation and Management Levels/Elements (History / Exam / Medical Decision Making), Established Patient:
 - 99212: Problem Focused / Problem Focused / Straight Forward
 - 99213: Expanded Problem Focused / Expanded Problem Focused / Low Complexity
 - 99214: Detailed History / Detailed Exam / Moderate Complexity
 - **99215 Comprehensive: extended HPI, ROS that is directly related to the problems identified in the HPI plus all additional body systems (a general multi-system exam or complete exam of single organ system) and a complete PMFSH.**
- **Time:** In the case where counseling and/or coordination of care dominates (more than 50%) of the physician/patient and/or family encounter (face-to-face time in the office or other outpatient setting or floor/unit time in the hospital or nursing facility), time is considered the key or controlling factor to qualify for a particular level of E/M services. The total length of time of the encounter (faced-to-face) should be documented and the record should **describe the counseling and/or activities to coordinate care.**
- Abstracted information for date of service 12/16/2014 separately identifiable from Pain Pump Management resulted in the following 99213 Level of Exam:
 1. **History = Problem Focused**
 2. **Exam = Expanded Problem Focused**
 3. **Medical Decision Making = Moderate Complexity**
- Documentation does not support 99215, recommend reimbursement for supported service 99213.

- 62370 report reflects refill of via L. side port, RX # 305612.
- Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, reimbursement is indicated for 99213 (billed as 99215) and 62370.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: 99215-25 & 62370

Date of Service: 12/16/2014							
Pharmacy							
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Assist Surgeon	Units	Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
99215	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$209.28	N/A	1	\$84.99	Refer to Analysis
62370	\$750.00	\$0.00	\$209.28	N/A	1	\$77.70	Refer to Analysis

Copy to:

[REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]