

**MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.**

Independent Bill Review  
P.O. Box 138006  
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006  
Fax: (916) 605-4280



---

**INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION**

July 2, 2015

██████████  
██  
████████████████████

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0000681	Date of Injury:	7/30/2013
Claim Number:	██████████	Application Received:	4/30/2015
Claims Administrator:	██████████		
Date Assigned:	5/26/2015		
Provider Name:	██		
Employee Name:	████████████████████		
Disputed Codes:	99499-86		

Dear ██████████

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

**Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$2496.60 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$2691.60. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.**

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$2691.60 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH  
Medical Director

cc: ██████████  
██

## DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates:
- National Correct Coding Initiatives

## HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

## ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with reimbursement of code 99499-86
- Claims Administrator reimbursed \$287.80/day for three days totaling \$863.40 indicating on the Explanation of Review “Reimbursement is based on the applicable reimbursement fee schedule”
- CCR 9789.12.4 “By Report” - Reimbursement for Unlisted Procedures / Procedures Lacking RBRVUs (a) An unlisted procedure shall be billed using the appropriate unlisted procedure code from the CPT. The procedure shall be billed by report (report not separately reimbursable), justifying that the service was reasonable and necessary to cure or relieve from the effects of the industrial injury or illness. Pertinent information should include an adequate definition or description of the nature, extent, and need for the procedure, and the time, effort and equipment necessary to provide the service. (b) (1) In accordance with section 9789.12.3, when procedures with status indicator codes C, N, or R, do not have RVUs assigned under the CMS’ National Physician Fee Schedule Relative Value File, these services shall be billed by report, justifying that the service was reasonable and necessary to cure or relieve from the effects of the industrial injury or illness. Pertinent information should include an adequate definition or description of the nature, extent, and need for the procedure, and the time, effort and equipment necessary to provide the service.

- Provider submitted the Request for Authorization requesting Functional Restoration for 14 days billing with CPT code 99499. A separate page lists the Provider’s fees showing \$1120/day.
- Claims Administrator’s letter dated 2/6/2015 shows request was authorized
- Based on information reviewed, reimbursement of service code 99499 is warranted.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Reimbursement of code 99499-86

Date of Service: 3/2/2015 – 3/5/2015							
Physician Service							
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Units	Multiple Surgery	Workers’ Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
99499-86	\$3360.00	\$863.40	\$2496.60	3	N/A	\$2496.60	<b>DISPUTED SERVICE:</b> Allow reimbursement \$2496.60

Copy to:

[REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]