

**MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.**

Independent Bill Review  
P.O. Box 138006  
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006  
Fax: (916) 605-4280



---

**INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION**

June 29, 2015

██████████  
██████████████████  
██████████████████

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0000642	Date of Injury:	5/5/2014
Claim Number:	██████████	Application Received:	4/24/2015
Claims Administrator:	██████		
Date Assigned:	5/20/2015		
Provider Name:	██████████████████		
Employee Name:	██████████████████		
Disputed Codes:	ML 104		

Dear ██████████

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

**Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$875.00 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$1070.00. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.**

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$1070.00 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH  
Medical Director

cc: ████████  
██

## DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates:
- National Correct Coding Initiatives
- Other: Medical Legal Fee Schedule

## HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

## ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with reimbursement of ML 104
- Claims administrator reimbursed code ML 104 in the amount of \$62.50 indicating on the Explanation of Review “The charge for the procedure exceeds the amount indicated in the fee schedule.”
- **ML 103: *Complex Comprehensive Medical-Legal Evaluation.*** Includes evaluations which require three of the complexity factors set forth below.
  1. Two or more hours of face-to-face time by the physician with the injured worker.
  2. Two or more hours of record review by the physician.
  3. Two or more hours of medical research by the physician.
  4. Four or more hours spent on any combination of two complexity factors (1-3), which shall count as two complexity factors.
  5. Six or more hours spent on any combination of three complexity factors (1-3), which shall count as three complexity factors.
  6. Addressing the issue of medical causation upon written request of the party or parties requesting the report, or if a bona-fide issue of medical causation is discovered in the evaluation.
  7. Addressing the issue of apportionment, when determining this issue requires the physician to evaluate the claimant’s employment by three or more employers, three or more injuries to the same body system or body region as delineated in the Table of

